DEVELOPMENT OF SNACK CRACKERS INCORPORATED WITH DEFATTED COCONUT FLOUR
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ABSTRACT

Recently, consumer demand has increased for healthy snacks. Snack crackers are popular as healthy snacks and there is a high potential to enhance the nutritional value by incorporating natural ingredients. In the present study, the dietary fiber content of the snack crackers was improved by incorporating defatted coconut flour. Chemical and functional properties of defatted coconut flour and all-purpose wheat flour were studied. Snack crackers were prepared by incorporating defatted coconut flour into wheat flour at 10, 20, 30 and 40% (w/w) levels. Sensory evaluation was conducted by using a 5-point hedonic scale with 31 panelists based on color, crispiness, texture, taste and overall acceptance. Physico-chemical characteristics and shelf life studies were carried out after packing in triple laminated aluminum foil and stored under ambient conditions. Defatted coconut flour was characterized with significantly higher crude fiber (17.69%), protein (22.10%) and mineral content (6.17%) than all-purpose wheat flour. The bulk density, water and oil holding capacities of defatted coconut flour were significantly higher than all-purpose wheat flour (p<0.05). All prepared snack crackers were contained significantly high (p<0.05) content of protein, mineral and crude fiber compared to control (100% wheat flour). The keeping quality was decreased with increasing level of defatted coconut flour. Collectively, the results revealed that up to 20% (w/w) defatted coconut flour can be incorporated in the formulation of healthy snack crackers without compromising physicochemical and sensory attributes.
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INTRODUCTION

Snack food consumption has increased as a result of urbanization and modernization. However, most of the snacks are contained high amount of fats, sugar, and salts and least amount of dietary fiber which can be caused health problems (Williams et al., 2008). Due to that, the consumer demand of healthy snacks is increasing. Therefore, snack crackers can be considered as one of the most desirable snacks due to their good eating quality and superior nutritional properties. Crackers are biscuits having typical flaky inner layers (SLS 251; 2010). Crackers contain little sugar, moderate levels of fat and relatively low levels of salt (Han et al., 2010). Consequently, crackers can be used as a good substitute for sweeter snacks. Along with, crackers can be utilized as a source of incorporation of different nutritionally rich ingredients for the diversification (Budha et al., 2007). Among these added ingredients, dietary fiber has gained tremendous attention. Valencia et al. (2006) reported that there is an increasing demand for high fiber food products in order to overcome health problems such as hypertension, diabetes, and colon cancer. The major reason for the less consumption of dietary fiber may be due to the less palatability of fiber rich foods. Dietary fiber is naturally contained only in foods derived from plants, fruits, vegetables, grains and legumes. In addition, Trinidad et al. (2006) showed that defatted coconut flour is another rich source of dietary fiber. However, all purpose wheat flour is not a good source of dietary fiber, defatted coconut flour can be incorporated into wheat flour in order to improve its health benefits. Therefore, it is an excellent way to increase daily fiber intake. Defatted coconut flour (DCF) is made out of coconut residue remained after the extraction of virgin coconut oil. Defatted coconut flour is now recognized as a good resource with significant potential health benefits as it contains complex carbohydrates, dietary fiber, high protein (Gunathilake et al., 2009). It is also gluten-free and has a low glycemic index; therefore it is good for people suffering from diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and celiac disease. Therefore, defatted coconut flour is a better healthy alternative to wheat flour. Some studies have proved that fiber-rich defatted coconut flour can be used to prepare food products such as bread, biscuits, noodles and snack bars (Abeyrathne and Gunathilake 2008; Gunathilake et al., 2009). Therefore, there is a great opportunity to develop fiber rich and healthy snack crackers incorporated into defatted coconut flour.

In addition, nowadays emerging beneficial oil that comes into the picture of the world is virgin coconut oil. The major difference between coconut oil and virgin coconut oil is the method of extraction. Virgin coconut oil has many advantages, which includes the health benefits of the retained vitamins and antioxidants, the antimicrobial and antiviral activity of the Lauric acid components and through its easy digestibility from the medium chain fatty acids (Marina et al., 2009). Along with the antioxidant activity of virgin coconut oil helps to delay the oxidative rancidity of food products (Nevin et al., 2006). Therefore, it is a good fat source of the food preparation instead of other oil types, especially for palm oil. Present dietary scenario necessitates exploring the possibility of incorporating novel ingredients in commonly consumed foods. According to the current nutritional quality of snack crackers and growing demand for crackers, it seems worthwhile to take the effort in enhancing the nutritional value of crackers. The present study was undertaken with the objectives to compare the functional properties of defatted coconut flour with all purpose wheat flour and incorporate it into snack crackers to assess the quality and acceptability of snack crackers.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in the laboratory of the Coconut Processing Research Division, Processing Research Institute, Lunuwila, Sri Lanka and Wayamba university of Sri Lanka, Makandura, Gonawila, Sri Lanka.

Preparation of defatted coconut flour

The defatted coconut flour was obtained from the coconut residue after extracting virgin coconut oil and was ground into fine particles using a grinder (model HL 3294/C Phillips) and was sieved using a stainless steel sieve (mesh size 0.25 mm) to obtain defatted coconut flour.
Other ingredients

All purpose wheat flour (WF) was purchased from a local supermarket in Sri Lanka under the brand name of "Prima". The remaining ingredients required for the snack crackers formulation were purchased from local market were salt, sugar, baking powder, virgin coconut oil, margarine, milk powder, malt extract and lecithin.

Analysis of proximate composition of flour

Flour samples (DCF and WF) were analyzed for moisture (Standard oven dry method), proteins (Kjeldahl method), crude fiber (Weende method), carbohydrate content and ash content according to the methods prescribed by AOAC, 1999.

Analysis of functional properties of flour

Bulk density (BD)

The bulk density was determined according to the method described by Okaka & Potter (1977). The sample (50 g) was put into a 100 ml graduated cylinder and tapped 20-30 times. The bulk density was calculated as weight per unit volume of sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Wheat Flour (g)</th>
<th>Coconut Flour (g)</th>
<th>Yeast (g)</th>
<th>Malt Extract (g)</th>
<th>Shortening (g)</th>
<th>Baking Powder (g)</th>
<th>Lecithin (g)</th>
<th>Water (ml)</th>
<th>Palm oil (ml)</th>
<th>Virgin coconut oil (ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T₀</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₂</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All the ingredients used for the cracker preparation were presented in Table 1. The snack crackers were prepared according to the procedure of Han et al. (2010) with some modifications. A portion of the flour blend and portions of other ingredient were mixed and the dough was allowed to ferment in troughs at room temperature for 16 to 19 hours. After fermentation, the sponge was added to the remaining ingredients and mixed for 3 to 7 minutes. After mixing, the dough was further fermented in troughs for 3 to 6 hours. The fermented dough was sheeted and laminated into 7 or 8 layers with a combined thickness of about 0.1 inches (2 mm). Circular crackers (diameter – 4 cm) were cut from the dough sheet using a dough cutter and transferred onto a mesh-wired baking sheet. The dough was also docked, or stamped with pins, to form the pattern of holes. Baking takes place at about 160°C for 20 minutes. After baking, the snack crackers were allowed to cool and packed in moisture-proof triple laminated Aluminum bags.

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation was conducted using 31 semi-trained panelists who were asked to score color, texture, crispiness, flavour, and overall acceptability on 5 point hedonic scales (1 = dislike extremely, 5 = like extremely). The results of the sensory evaluation were analyzed using the nonparametric Friedman rank test in the Minitab software package version 15.0.

Analysis of physical characteristics of snack crackers

Physical properties of the snack crackers were determined as described by Baljet et al. (2010). The diameter of snack crackers was measured by laying six snack crackers edge to edge with the help of a scale rotating them 90° and again measuring the diameter of six snack crackers (cm) and then taking the average value. Thickness was measured by stacking six snack crackers on top of each other and taking average thickness (cm). The weight of crackers was measured as average values of four individual snack crackers with the help of a digital weighing balance. Spread ratio was calculated by dividing the average value of the diameter by average value of the thickness of snack crackers.

Analysis of proximate composition of snack crackers

Moisture content was determined using standard oven (Gallenkamp, SANYO Gallenkamp PPLC, U.K.) method by drying at 105°C for 4 hours and then to constant weight (SLS 251:1991). Test for fat content was carried out by soxhlet extraction using petroleum ether (40°C – 60°C) as solvent (Pearson, 1973); Mineral content determination was done by dry ashing method (Pearson, 1973); Crude protein content by Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 1999); Crude fiber content by Weende method (AOAC, 1999) and determination of energy using Bomb calorimeter (AOAC, 1995).

Water and oil holding capacity (WHC / ORC)

The water and oil holding capacities were determined by the method of Sosulski et al. (1976). The cracker sample 1.0 g was mixed with 10 ml distilled water or refined soybean oil, kept at ambient temperature for 30 min and centrifuged for 10 min at 2000×g. Water or oil holding capacity was expressed as percent water or oil bound per gram of the sample.

Foaming capacity (FC)

One gram of cracker sample was whirled with 100 ml distilled water for 5 min in a blender at 500 rpm and poured into a 25 ml graduated cylinder. The volume of foam at 30 seconds after whipping was expressed as the foaming capacity (Chimma et al., 2008).

Preparation of flour blends

All purpose wheat flour was substituted by defatted coconut flour at 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40 % levels, w/w (flour basis).

Snack cracker preparation

Table 1 Formulations for snack crackers (T₀ – 100% WF, T₁ – 10% DCF, T₂ – 20% DCF, T₃ – 30% DCF and T₄ – 40% DCF)

Shelf life studies

Analysis of shelf life studies was carried out once in two weeks for a period of three months after packing in triple laminated Aluminum foil and stored under ambient conditions. Moisture content was determined using standard oven method by drying at 105°C for 4 hours and then to constant weight (SLS 251:1991). Oils of cracker samples were extracted by Soxhlet extraction procedure using petroleum ether (40°C – 60°C) as solvent (Pearson 1973). Peroxide value (PV) of oil was determined by dissolving oil sample (5g) in acetic acid – chloroform solution and titrated with 0.01N Sodium Thiosulphate in the presence of saturated potassium iodide and starch indicator. The free fatty acid content of oil was determined by dissolving oil sample (5g) in 95% Ethyl Alcohol followed by titration with Sodium Hydroxide (0.1N) using phenolphthalein indicator. Enumeration of aerobic colony count was done by incubating micro organisms in nutrient agar (NA) medium at 37°C for 48 hours and the yeast and mould count was done by incubating in potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium with 0.01% Chloramphenicol held at room temperature (SLS 256:1991).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance was carried out to find out the significant differences among cracker samples using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Minitab 15 software version.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proximate composition of all purpose Wheat Flour (WF) and Defatted coconut flour (DCF)

Proximate composition of all purpose WF and DCF are presented in Table 2. It was found that DCF had a significantly higher content of protein (22.10%), fat (8.04%), ash (6.17%), crude fiber (17.69%) and lower content of carbohydrate (41.06%) and moisture (10.66%) in comparison to all purpose WF. On the other hand, all-purpose WF contained lower content of protein (9.57%), fat (0.79%), ash (0.49%), crude fiber (0.51%) and higher content of carbohydrate (77.98%).
The results from the analysis of functional properties of DCF and WF are summarized in Figure 1. Functional properties of the DCF showed significant differences compared to all-purpose WF. As a hydration parameter of the flour, the water holding capacity (WHC) of DCF was significantly higher than that of WF (p<0.05). The value of the bulking density and the oil retention capacity of DCF was significantly higher than those of WF (p<0.05). With respect to the foaming capacity, statistically, there was no significant difference between DCF and WF. However, the foaming capacity of DCF was higher than WF.

**Nutritional composition of snack crackers**

The nutritional composition of snack crackers, prepared with different treatment was compared with the control as shown in Table 3. The ash content of the DCF incorporated cracker samples was significantly higher than the control. The ash content of snack crackers has increased with the addition of DCF concentration. The moisture content ranged from 2.16 (30% DCF) to 2.07% (control) and moisture content increased with the increase of DCF concentration. The fat content of control crackers was 14.22% and it was increased up to 14.92% in 30% DCF incorporated snack crackers. There was a significant difference in protein content among cracker samples when compared to the control. The protein content of snack crackers ranged from 8.29% to 7.20%. The cracker samples were showed an increase in protein content when DCF concentration was increased.

With the addition of DCF, the fiber content of the cracker samples was increased significantly (p<0.05) than that of the control. Results showed that the available carbohydrate content as determined by the difference method was found to be higher in control than that of the DCF incorporated crackers. However, sodium content of the cracker samples was showed more or less similar values for all the cracker samples. With regard to the carbohydrate content, a significant decrease was noticed between the control and DCF incorporated cracker samples. When compared to the control there was a significant increase in energy value of the DCF incorporated crackers.

**Physical characteristics of snack crackers**

Physical properties of the cracker samples are summarized in Table 4. With regard to the diameter, there was no difference among all cracker samples and the control. However, there was a significant difference (p<0.05) among the DCF treatment incorporated samples. The thickness of all the cracker samples was higher than the weights of control crackers.

The changes in width and thickness were reflected in spread ratio which was 5.94 for control snack crackers, these values increased from 5.94 to 7.43 with the rise in the ratio of DCF in snack crackers. Further, there was a significant difference in spread ratio between control and the 30% DCF incorporated snack crackers. The puffiness of the crackers was significantly lower than that of the control cracker (p<0.05) with the incorporation of DCF for more than 20% level (p<0.05). The thickness and puffiness of crackers made from DCF were decreased with the increase of DCF content in the cracker samples.

---

### Table 2: Proximate composition of all purpose wheat flour and defatted coconut flour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Defatted coconut flour</th>
<th>All purpose Wheat flour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>4.94±0.02*</td>
<td>10.66±0.30*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>6.17±0.03*</td>
<td>0.49±0.07*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>8.04±0.49*</td>
<td>0.79±0.06*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>22.10±2.92*</td>
<td>9.57±2.20*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>17.69±1.45*</td>
<td>0.51±0.05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>41.06</td>
<td>77.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are mean ± S.D of three independent determinations. The means with different superscripts in a row differ significantly (p<0.05). * Calculated by difference method.

### Table 3: Nutritional composition of snack crackers out of different treatments (dry basis%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>T7-Control</th>
<th>T1-10%DCF</th>
<th>T2-20%DCF</th>
<th>T3-30%DCF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>2.07†</td>
<td>2.09†</td>
<td>2.13†</td>
<td>2.16†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>1.28*</td>
<td>1.64*</td>
<td>1.82*</td>
<td>2.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>14.22*</td>
<td>14.4*</td>
<td>14.56*</td>
<td>14.92*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>8.29*</td>
<td>11.8*</td>
<td>12.09*</td>
<td>12.66*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fiber</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
<td>2.48*</td>
<td>3.28*</td>
<td>4.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>75.64</td>
<td>69.68</td>
<td>68.25</td>
<td>66.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>0.43*</td>
<td>0.45*</td>
<td>0.48*</td>
<td>0.49*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>373.33°</td>
<td>352.33°</td>
<td>349.4°</td>
<td>341.3°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are mean ± S.D of three independent determinations. The means with different superscripts in a row differ significantly (p<0.05).

### Table 4: Physical properties of crackers out of different treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>Spread ratio (W/T)</th>
<th>Puffiness (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4.37±0.11†</td>
<td>0.73±0.01†</td>
<td>3.29±0.34*</td>
<td>5.94±0.07</td>
<td>4.65±0.07°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1-10%</td>
<td>4.33±0.06°</td>
<td>0.70±0.03°</td>
<td>3.33±0.05*</td>
<td>6.12±0.18°</td>
<td>4.44±0.23°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2-20%</td>
<td>4.32±0.02°</td>
<td>0.69±0.06°</td>
<td>3.43±0.04*</td>
<td>6.22±0.59°</td>
<td>4.37±0.50°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3-30%</td>
<td>4.24±0.04*</td>
<td>0.57±0.06°</td>
<td>3.55±0.11*</td>
<td>7.43±0.66°</td>
<td>3.42±0.46°</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The values are mean ± S.D of three independent determinations. The means with different superscripts in a column differ significantly (p<0.05).
Figure 2 Results of Friedman test along with sum of ranks of sensory attributes

Sensory characteristics of snack crackers

Results of sensory evaluation in terms of taste, color, texture, crispiness and overall acceptability are presented in Figure 2. It was showed, that similar higher ranks for all attributes for control, 10% and 20% DCF incorporated crackers. However, 30% DCF incorporated crackers showed a significant difference (p<0.05) in crispiness compared to the control. Mean scores for the crispiness decreased with the increase of DCF content in the cracker samples. Crackers with 40% DCF had a lower rank for all attributes indicating a low level of preference. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) among the control and all DCF incorporated crackers with regard to the color. The hard texture was observed by panelists with the rise of the DCF level in the crackers. With regards to taste, up to the level, 30% cracker samples did not show any significant difference compared to the control and 40% DCF incorporated crackers showed lower mean scores for taste. Furthermore, there was no significant difference in the control and the cracker samples up to the level of 30% with respect to overall acceptability.

Shelf life studies snack crackers

Moisture content is a critical factor which can be affected by the sensory attributes such as texture and crispiness. However, according to the data presented in figure 3, the moisture content of the cracker samples was increased slightly with time. In addition, the moisture content of the cracker samples was increased with the rise of the DCF substitution level. However, during the entire storage period, none of these samples exceeded the 4% limit prescribed by the standardization agencies during the 3 months of the storage period (SLS 251: 2010). The changes in free fatty acid content (FFA) and peroxide values of the cracker samples during storage are shown in figure 4 and 5 respectively. The FFA content of the cracker samples was increased with time. However, during the entire storage period, none of these samples exceeded the 1% limit prescribed by the standardization agencies (SLS 251: 2010). The FFA content of the cracker samples with 30% DCF was showed the highest value and the FFA values of the control sample were more or less similar to 20% DCF incorporated cracker samples. A slight increase was seen in peroxide values of cracker samples with time. Up to the level, 30% DCF incorporated crackers and control cracker samples were showed higher peroxide values than those of 10% and 20% DCF incorporated cracker samples. However, the higher peroxide values did not exceed the maximum peroxide value of 10 mEq/kg during the 3 months storage.

Figure 3 Variation of moisture content in cracker samples with Time

Figure 4 Variation of Free Fatty Acid % in cracker samples with Time

Figure 5 Variation of Peroxide value (mEq/kg) in cracker samples with Time

According to the test results of the microbial evaluation, the data were showed that there was a slight increase of the aerobic plate count and yeast and mould count of cracker samples during the three months of storage period. The maximum aerobic plate count is $5 \times 10^3$ CFU/g and maximum yeast and mould count are $5 \times 10^1$ (SLS 251:2010). However, the variations were within the tolerable limits.

DISCUSSION

According to the chemical composition of defatted coconut flour (DCF) and all-purpose wheat flour, it could be stated that defatted coconut flour is contained higher protein, crude fiber, mineral and fat content than wheat flour. The high fat
content of the DCF illustrates that; it can be more prone to rancidity development during the storage period. Therefore, most suitable packaging and environment should be provided during the storage period for DCF to delay the rancidity development (Lennersten and Lingvett, 2000). Higher content of protein in DCF can be achieved by the protein content of the defatted coconut flour and properties. The high moisture content of the WF can be affected by deterioration and mould growth (Fennema and Tannenbaum, 1996). However, the moisture content of the DCF did not exceed the 5.0 % level recommended by the Philippine national standard for the defatted coconut flour (PNS/BAPFS 7551, 2006). This was achieved due to high fat level of the DCF. However, the moisture content of the control cracker had the highest level of 7.56%. Ash content of the DCF was also lower than that of the control in DCF. However, the texture and taste of the crackers would be dependent on the type of flour used for substitution.

Nutrition composition of the crackers was the second important factor that consumers are considering when buying snack foods. The proximate composition of the crackers is shown in Table 2. The incorporation of DCF into crackers was showed the highest scores by the panelists for the highest moisture content of the DCF. The relatively higher moisture content of DCF incorporated crackers may be due to high water holding a capacity of the DCF. It will be more prone to deterioration and mold growth than that of the control (Fennema and Tannenbaum 1996). Also, Ash content is reflective of the total mineral content of the products. The results showed that crackers made from the DCF had higher total mineral content. The high fiber content of DCF crackers makes them suitable for the prevention of constipation, colon cancer in humans (Anderson et al., 2009). Therefore, with the incorporation of DCF into the crackers, they were more suitable for the adults and patients who are suffering from the non-communicable diseases.

According to the nutrient, health claims the fat content of the cracker samples were below the limit of 20%. Since fat contributes largely to total calories of a diet, health conscious and anorectic patients can eat crackers. Although the fat content was in the moderate level, DCF incorporated crackers were contained good fat profile due to the replacement of virgin coconut oil for the palm oil. Virgin coconut oil is rich in medium-chain fatty acids mainly Lauric acids which do not circulate in the bloodstream like other fats. They are sent directly to the liver and are converted into energy. It stimulates metabolism, boosts energy and prevents deposition of fats, thereby helping to prevent obesity. In addition, it is good for the heart patients as well as diabetic patients (Trinidad et al., 2003). The total carbohydrate content of the crackers was decreased with the increase in DCF level in the cracker samples. These results were revealed that low caloric intake from the DCF incorporated crackers due to the high fiber content and lower carbohydrate content. However, the obese and those who do not want to gain weight should consider the caloric value of the products when consuming them. Therefore, these DCF incorporated crackers can be consumed by the people who are at risk for the obesity. In addition to that, the sodium content of all cracker, samples were below the limit of “low sodium” statement, according to the nutrient content claims (FAO, 1997). Therefore DCF contained crackers are good for the healthy people as well as patients who are suffering from hypertension.

All the results of the analysis of the nutrient composition DCF incorporated crackers had a good nutrient profile than the control crackers which make them suitable for people of all ages and also for the patients who are suffering from non-communicable diseases. Considering all these facts and according to the health claims the fat content of the DCF incorporated crackers can be considered as healthy crackers. Incorporation of DCF into cracker biscuits was affected by the physical properties as puffiness, thickness and spread ratio. Those properties were very important for the final appearance of the crackers. There was no effect from the addition of DCF on the shape of the crackers. The thickness of Cracker was decreased with increasing level of DCF. In addition to that, the puffiness of the crackers was showed the expansion ratio of the DCF incorporated crackers. The gluten content is affected to the puffiness of the crackers. Therefore, with increasing the level of DCF in flour blends, the ability to gas retention and expansion of the dough was decreased. The control cracker had the highest puffiness and with the increasing level of the DCF crackers had slightly higher and tougher texture with less expansion than WF. Although results were not shown a significant difference in weight among the cracker biscuits, it was slightly increased with increasing level of incorporating DCF. This might have been due to the greater water-holding a capacity of DCF. The changes in width and thickness are reflected in spread ratio. Crackers, having a higher spread ratio is considered most desirable (Hussein et al., 2011). This may be due to the increase in fat content in crackers with higher DCF. The similar results were reported with corn and potato flour biscuit (Singh et al., 2003), Oat bran biscuit (Sudha et al., 2007) and raw, toasted wheat bran biscuit (Zahra and Rao, 2011). Some authors reported that spread factor is highly affected by fat content, as the content of fat increased spread ratio gradually increased (Srivastava and Haridas 1993) or some had been supported this effect due to the increased expansion of the dough (Chandrashakara and Shurpalekar 1984). When the DCF level was increased in the crackers; it was adversely affected by the physical properties of the crackers and final appearance of the crackers.
With all the sensory, physical and nutritional properties of the crackers, there should be good keeping quality during the storage period. According to the present study, chemical changes and microbial changes were in acceptable limit without any adverse effect on the qualities of the crackers during 3 months of period. The moisture content of the crackers is one of the most crucial factors for the crackers to keep the good crispiness texture which is important and unique characteristic of crackers during the storage period. The moisture content of all cracker samples was increased with time as well as with increasing the DCF level in crackers. Hence DCF had a high water holding capacity due to high fiber and protein content. Therefore, DCF incorporated crackers will be more prone to deterioration and mold growth than that of the control (Fennema and Tannenbaum, 1996). The suitable packaging material is one of the solutions for good keeping quality. The triple laminated Aluminum foil was used to store all the cracker samples in this study and it has good moisture barrier properties. However, during the entire storage period, none of these samples were exceeding the 4% limit prescribed by the standardization agencies during these 3 months of the period (SLS 251: 2010).

As fat was the second largest ingredient of the snack crackers, rancidity development can be happened with resulting unpleasant taste and odor during the storage time. The presence of free fatty acids is an indication of lipidase activity or other hydrolytic action and it is a measure of the extent to which the glycerides in the oil have been decomposed by lipase or other hydrolytic action. In this study, the changes of the free fatty acid content of the cracker samples during the storage were in acceptable limit. The FFA content of the cracker samples was increased with the time and with increasing the DCF level in crackers. These changes were not in use in most of the DCF incorporated cracker samples. However, during the entire storage period, none of these samples exceeded the 1% limit prescribed by the standardization agencies (SLS 251: 2010) and any of samples didn’t show the unpleasant taste or the rancid odor. Peroxide value is another measurement of rancidity development from the autoxidation. Triple laminated Aluminum foil as a good packaging material can be affected to lower values which have light, moisture, gas barrier properties. In addition, control cracker had the higher peroxide value than the DCF incorporated crackers. Hence, the fat source of the control was palm oil and for that reason, it had a higher peroxide value than other oil types based on the oil extraction process which was proven by the analysis of DPHH radical scavenging activity of the cracker samples in this study and Nevin and Razian (2009) reported that as well. Therefore virgin coconut oil has a good potential to use as a fat source and antioxidants for the cracker production. According to this study, there is a possibility to use virgin coconut oil as natural antioxidants. In general, the greater the degree of unsaturation leads to the greater the liability of the fat to oxidative rancidity (Pearson 1973). Therefore virgin coconut oil is a good source due to the presence of higher amount of saturated fats. Although there was a negative believe about the saturated fat of coconut oil and Marina et al. (2009) found that virgin coconut oil contained medium chain fatty acids and they are good for the human health. Other than that, microbial growth during the storage period is another critical factor for the keeping quality. The present study showed that aerobic plate count and yeast and mould count were lower than the maximum limits as 1000-10000 CFU/g and 100-1000 CFU/g respectively. Tuherti et al. (2010) found that DCF has an antimicrobial activity to inhibit the microbial growth. In addition, baking temperature influences to the lower values of the microbial counts.

CONCLUSION

The data presented in this study demonstrate the potential for industrial exploitation of defatted coconut flour through processing into snack food items such as crackers. It can be concluded that up to the level of 20% DCF incorporated crackers were nutritionally rich with good sensory attributes than crackers without added fat. In addition, the results of this study indicate that DCF may be considered as a potential ingredient for food products, increasing their content of total dietary fiber and improving their technological properties. Hence, development and utilization of such ingredients can be used to improve the nutritional status of the population as well as to overcome non-communicable diseases. The finding of this experiment may help to generate technology to diversify the use of defatted coconut flour by the food processing enterprises, especially baking industries. More studies should be conducted to investigate the possibility of using defatted coconut flour as an ingredient in other food products in order to increase applications of such value-added food ingredient. In addition to the sensory and technological properties, coconut oil has a good fat source with competitive nutritional and technological properties. Defatted coconut incorporated as the packaging material as well as it can be used as a natural antioxidant source for the food products mainly for the crackers.
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