



**PRELIMINARY ANTIMICROBIAL AND PHYTOCHEMICAL STUDY OF THE  
AQUEOUS, ALCHOLIC AND CHLOROFORM EXTRACTS OF THE LEAVES OF  
*NAPOLEONAEA VOGELLI* HOOK. AND PLANCH. (*LECYTHIDIACEAE*)**

Iriagbonse Asowata<sup>1</sup>, Joseph Omorogiuwa Erhabor<sup>1\*</sup>, MacDonald Idu<sup>1</sup>, Odaro Timothy<sup>1</sup>  
and Nosa Omoregbe Obayagbona<sup>2</sup>

**Address:** Joseph Omorogiuwa Erhabor

<sup>1</sup> University of Benin, Faculty of Life Sciences, Department of Plant Biology and

Biotechnology, P.MB.1154, 300001 Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria. +2348077979390

<sup>2</sup> Edo Environmental Consults and Laboratory, Microbiology laboratory, Palm House Annex,  
Sapele road, 300001, Benin City, Edo State, Nigeria

\*Corresponding author: [erhaborjoseph@yahoo.com](mailto:erhaborjoseph@yahoo.com)

---

**ABSTRACT**

The antimicrobial activity and phytochemical analysis of *Napoleonaea vogelli* P. Beauv. {*Lecythidiaceae*} was done using aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform leaf extracts to determine its antimicrobial and phytochemical constituents. The antimicrobial activities of the extracts were tested against bacteria and fungi isolates using the agar well diffusion method. Commercial antibiotics were used as positive reference standards to determine the sensitivity of the isolates. The leaf extracts of the plant were subjected to phytochemical analysis using standard experimental procedures. The extracts showed significant inhibitory activity against the test microbial isolates: *Escherichia coli*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Penicillium notatum*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida albicans*. The MIC values obtained using the Agar-dilution test ranged from 0.5-10mg/ml. The results demonstrated that the extracts of the leaves (*N. vogelli*) possess broad spectrum antimicrobial activity. These results suggest that it will be useful in the treatment of microbial infections.

**Keywords:** *Napoleonaea vogelli*, aqueous extract, ethanol extract, methanol extract, chloroform extract, antimicrobial activity, phytochemical analysis

---

## INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants are plants in which one or more of their organs contain substances that can be used for therapeutic purposes or which can be precursors for the synthesis of useful drugs (WHO., 1977; Sofowora, 1982). It is often said that every plant is a potential medicine for one disease or the other. Traditional healers have put forward many claims that are being subjected to scientific investigation (Idu et al., 2006). Medicinal plants are now widely used in the treatment of microbial infections because of the problems of resistance to modern antimicrobial drugs (Idu et al., 2006). Furthermore, it has been found that some drugs are synthesized from plants (Idu et al., 2007). The plant *Napoleonaea vogelli* Hook. and Planch. belong to the family *Lecythidiaceae*. It is a tree that grows often more than 6m high. The fruit of *Napoleonaea vogelli* is yellowish or reddish when ripe and is often slightly warted. The fruits of *Napoleonaea vogelli* has been recommended for diabetes patients. The decoction of the leaves, bark and fruit rind is given for fever, cough and catarrh. The young shoots are used as chewing sticks for cleaning teeth.

The objective of this study was to investigate the antimicrobial and phytochemical properties of the alcoholic extracts of *Napoleonaea vogelli*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant materials

The leaves of *Napoleonaea vogelli* was collected from farmlands located around University of Benin and environs. It was identified by Professor M. Idu of the Department of Plant Biology and Biotechnology, University of Benin, Benin City. The procedure was described by Onwuliri (2004) and Onwuliri and Wang (2005). The leaves were dried in an open air under shade to prevent ultra violet rays from inactivating the chemical constituents. The leaves were grounded to fine particles. 50g of the leaves were soaked in 300ml of sterile water, 50g of grounded leaves were also soaked in 250ml ethanol, methanol and chloroform, all in bottles. They were left for 24hours at room temperature with occasional stirring. The

extracts were filtered and concentrated to dryness by evaporation and was stored in refrigerator until required for use.

### **Test organisms**

The microbial isolates used for the study were: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Penicillium notatum*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Fusarium oxysporum* and *Candida albicans*. Pure cultures of the bacterial isolates and *Candida albicans* were obtained from the Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Benin Teaching Hospital, Benin City. Pure cultures of the molds and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were collected from the Microbiology laboratory, Edo Environmental Consults and Laboratory, Benin City, Nigeria.

### **Standardization of the microbial inoculum**

All the test bacterial isolates and fungi isolates were sub-cultured on freshly prepared nutrient agar plates and malt extract agar plates and incubated for 24h and 48h respectively. Portions of the streaked colonies were transferred into test tubes containing 5ml of sterile nutrient broth and incubated for 3h at 37 °C. The growth of bacteria and fungi suspension obtained was compared to that of freshly prepared Barium sulphate solution {0.5ml of 1% Barium in Chloride to 99.5ml of 1% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.36 Normal) (Vandepitte et al., 2003). The turbidity was adjusted by adding more sterile nutrient broth to match 0.5 McFarland standard (10<sup>6</sup> cfu/ml).

### **Test for antimicrobial activity**

The diluted aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform extracts of the leaves of the plant were tested for their antimicrobial properties using agar well diffusion method (Pelezar et al., 1993; Barry and Thornsberry, 1995). Also, commercially available standard antibiotic disc was placed on the agar plates as positive control after which the plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C and 25 °C for bacteria and fungi cultures respectively. At the end of the incubation period, the diameter of inhibition zone(s) were measured and recorded.

### **Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Determination**

The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of the aqueous and ethanolic leaf extracts of *N. vogelli* was determined by broth dilution method (Cheesebrough *et al.*, 2000). In it, a two-fold serial dilution method (double dilution) was used. The tubes were incubated at 37 °C for 24hours for bacteria and 25 °C for 48hours for fungi. The least concentration of the extract which inhibited the growth of the inoculum was considered as the minimum inhibitory concentration.

### **Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) Determination**

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the plant extracts was determined by a modification of the method of **Spencer and Spencer (2004)**. Aliquots were taken from tubes with no visible growth in the MIC assay and sub-cultured on freshly prepared nutrient agar plates and later incubated at 37 °C for 24hours. The MBC was taken as the concentration of the extract that did not show any growth on a new set of agar plates.

### **Phytochemical screening of the extracts of the leaf**

The aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform leaf extracts were tested for the presence of saponins, flavonoids, tannins, phlobatannins, steroids, terpenoids, cardiac glycosides, alkaloids, anthracene and reducing sugar using standard procedures to identify the constituents as described by **Sofowora (1993)**, **Trease and Evans (1985)** and **Harborne (1973)**. Quantitative determination was also carried out.

### **Statistical analysis**

Results were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard error of means [S.E.M] and level of significance between means were computed by student's t-test using SPSS 14.00 computer software package. The level of significance was determined at 0.05.

## RESULTS

The test microbial isolates exhibited varying degrees of sensitivity towards various concentrations of *Napoleonaea vogelli* aqueous leaf extract. The differences in the mean diameter of inhibitory zones exhibited by *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. notatum*, *A. niger*, *F. oxysporum* and *S. cerevisiae* was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 1).

**Table 1** The effect (zone of inhibition in mm) of *Napoleonaea vogelli* aqueous leaf extract at various concentrations on test organisms

Test organisms	Zone of inhibition (mm)			Sterile distilled water
	10mg/ml	5mg/ml	0.5mg/ml	
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	14.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.5	10.0 <sup>ab</sup> ± 2.0	7.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	-
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	10.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.5	5.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.0	4.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.0	-
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	8.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.0	5.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.0	3.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	-
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	3.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 3.0	6.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	4.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	-
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	13.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	9.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	0.0 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.0	-
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	10.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.5	6.5 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.5	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	-
<i>Penicillium notatum</i>	5.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	3.5 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.5	1.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	-
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	11.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	3.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	2.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	-
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	15.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	4.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 2.5	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	-
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	12.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.5	3.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	1.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	-
<i>Candida albicans</i>	3.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	2.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	0.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.0	-

**Legend:** Values are means ± S.E.M of two measurements across each zone of inhibition.

Means ± S.E.M with different superscript within a row are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

*P. notatum* exhibited the highest mean inhibition zone (24.0 mm) whilst the least inhibitory zone (1.0 mm) was displayed by *S. cerevisiae* against a 0.5 mg/ml concentration of *Napoleonaea vogelli* ethanolic extract. The differences in the mean inhibitory zones elicited by *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *P. aeruginosa*, *K. pneumoniae*, *A. niger*, *F. oxysporum*, *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Tab 2).

**Table 2** The effect (zone of inhibition in mm) of *Napoleonaea vogelli* ethanolic leaf extract at various concentrations on test organisms

Test organisms	Zone of inhibition (mm)		
	10mg/ml	5mg/ml	0.5mg/ml
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	13.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	6.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	4.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	8.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	5.5 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.5	4.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	8.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	4.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	3.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	8.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.5	5.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	2.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 2.0
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	12.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 5.0	6.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	4.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	8.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.0	5.5 <sup>ab</sup> ± 0.5	2.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 2.0
<i>Penicillium notatum</i>	24.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 5.0	12.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 3.0	8.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 4.0
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	12.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.5	4.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.5	2.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	19.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	8.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	3.0 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	11.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.5	4.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	1.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0
<i>Candida albicans</i>	16.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.0	9.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	5.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.5

**Legend:** Values are means ± S.E.M of two measurements across each zone of inhibition. Means ± S.E.M with different superscript within a row are significantly different, P < 0.05.

*P. notatum* exhibited the highest mean inhibition zone (18.5 mm) against 10mg/ml plant methanolic extract concentrate. The least inhibitory zone (0.0 mm) was displayed by *S. cerevisiae* against a 5 mg/ml concentration of *Napoleonaea vogelli* methanolic extract. The differences in the mean inhibitory zones elicited by *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae*, *A. niger*, *F. oxysporum*, *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* was significant (P<0.05) (Tab 3).

**Table 3** The effect (zone of inhibition in mm) of *Napoleonaea vogelli* methanolic leaf extract at various concentrations on test organisms

Test organisms	Zone of inhibition (mm)		
	10mg/ml	5mg/ml	0.5mg/ml
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	16.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.5	9.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	3.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	16.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	5.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	2.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	13.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.0	7.0 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.0	4.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.5
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	12.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 6.0	5.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	4.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	16.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 3.5	8.0 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.0	6.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	9.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	6.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	3.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Penicillium notatum</i>	18.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.5	7.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.5	0.0 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	17.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	5.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	2.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	12.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	6.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	4.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	6.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	1.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup>
<i>Candida albicans</i>	20.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 2.0	12.5 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.5	7.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 2.0

**Legend:** Values are means ± S.E.M of two measurements across each zone of inhibition. Means ± S.E.M with different superscript within a row are significantly different, P < 0.05.

*E. coli* displayed the highest mean inhibition zone (11.0 mm) against 10mg/ml *Napoleonaea vogelli* methanolic extract concentrate. The least inhibitory zone (0.0 mm) was displayed by *P.mirabilis*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. notatum*, *A. niger*, *F. oxysporum*, *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* against 5 mg/ml and 0.5mg/ml concentration of *Napoleonaea vogelli* methanolic extract. The differences in the mean inhibitory zones elicited by *B. subtilis*, *S. aureus*, *P. mirabilis*, *A. niger*, *S. cerevisiae* and *C. albicans* was significant (P<0.05) (Tab 4).

**Table 4** The effect (zone of inhibition in mm) of *Napoleonaea vogelli* chloroform leaf extract at various concentrations on test organisms

Test organisms	zone of inhibition (mm)		
	10mg/ml	5mg/ml	0.5mg/ml
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	4.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	11.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	3.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 3.5	1.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.5
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	3.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	6.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	2.0 <sup>ab</sup> ± 2.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	5.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	0.0 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	3.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 3.0	0.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.0	0.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Penicillum notatum</i>	3.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 3.5	0.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.0	0.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	3.0 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	4.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0	0.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 0.0
<i>Candida albicans</i>	6.5 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.5	3.0 <sup>b</sup> ± 1.0	0.0 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.0

**Legend:** Values are means ± S.E.M of two measurements across each zone of inhibition. Means ± S.E.M with different superscript within a row are significantly different, P < 0.05.

*P. mirabilis* exhibited the highest inhibition zone (24 mm) against 10 µl gentamicin disc. *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* elicited the highest zone of inhibition (20 mm) against 10 µl ciprofloxacin disc. *Candida albicans* displayed the most sensitivity (22 mm) against 200 mg/ml ketoconazole disc (Tab 5).

**Table 5** Antibiotic susceptibility patterns of the test organisms

Test organisms	Zone of inhibition (mm)		
	Gentamicin (10 µl)	Ciprofloxacin (10 µl)	Ketoconazole (200 mg/ml)
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	24		
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	20		
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>		20	
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>		18	
<i>Escherichia coli</i>		20	
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>		15	
<i>Penicillum notatum</i>			20
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>			20
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>			18
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>			16
<i>Candida albicans</i>			22

*B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, *P. mirabilis*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. notatum* and *C. albicans* had nil MIC against *N. vogelli* aqueous extract. *B. subtilis*, *P. mirabilis*, *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae* and *S. cerevisiae* displayed a MIC value of 10 mg/ml against *N. vogelli* chloroform extract (tab 6).

**Table 6** Minimum inhibitory concentration of aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform leaf extracts of *Napoleonaea vogelli* on test organisms

Test organisms	Concentration of extracts (mg/ml)			
	Aqueous	Ethanol	Methanol	Chloroform
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	0	0	0	10
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	0	5	5	5
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	0	5	0	10
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	0	5	0	5
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	0	5	0	10
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	0	5	0	10
<i>Penicillium notatum</i>	0	10	0	0
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	10	0	0	0
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	10	10	0.5	0
<i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i>	5	0.5	5	10
<i>Candida albicans</i>	0	0	10	0

All the bacterial isolates elicited nil MBC values against *N. vogelli* aqueous leaf extract. *E. coli*, *P. mirabilis*, *S. aureus* and *K. pneumoniae* exhibited a MBC value of 10 mg/ml against *N. vogelli* chloroform extract (tab 7).

**Table 7** Minimum bactericidal concentration of aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform leaf extract of *Napoleonaea vogelli* on test organisms

Test bacteria	Concentration of extracts (mg/ml)			
	aqueous	ethanol	methanol	Chloroform
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	0	0	0	0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	0	5	5	5
<i>Proteus mirabilis</i>	0	5	0	10
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	0	10	0	5
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	0	5	0	10
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	0	5	0	10

**Legend:** 0 = Not bactericidal

The presence of saponins, tannins, steroids, flavonoids, terpenoids, cardiac glycosides and reducing sugars were detected in the aqueous extract of *N. vogelli*. Phlobatannins and alkaloids was absent from the analysed aqueous and alcoholic leaf extracts of *N. vogelli* (tab 8).

**Table 8** Qualitative determination of leaf extracts of *Napoleonaea vogelli*

Phytochemical constituents	Aqueous extract	ethanol extract	chloroform extract	methanol extract
Saponins	+	+	-	+
Tannins	+	+	-	+
Phlobatannins	-	-	-	-
Steroids	+	+	+	+
Flavonoids	+	+	+	+
Terpenoids	+	-	+	+
Cardiac glycosides	+	+	-	+
Alkaloids	-	-	-	-
Reducing sugars	+	+	-	+
Anthracene	-	-	-	-

**Legend:** - = Absent, + = Present

Saponin (13.47%) and terpenoid (0.10%) were found to be present in the analysed *N. vogelli* leaves (Table 9)

**Table 9** Quantitative determination of the phytochemical constituents of the leaves of *Napoleonaea vogelli*

Plant	Tannin (%)	Saponin (%)	Terpenoid (%)	Flavonoid (%)	reducing sugar (%)
<i>Napoleonaea vogelli</i>	*0.21± 0.02	13.47±3.66	0.10±0.04	1.53±0.23	9.75±2.08

**Legend:** \* mean ±Standard error of mean (SEM)

## DISCUSSION

The aqueous, ethanol and methanol leaf extracts of *N. vogelli* showed strong antimicrobial activities against all test organisms than the chloroform extract. It was observed that sensitivity increased with increasing concentration of extracts. *N. vogelli* exhibited broad spectrum inhibitory activities against both Gram positive and Gram negative test bacterial isolates as well as the fungal isolates. *Napoleonaea vogelli* can be used for gastrointestinal

disorders. *Staphylococcus aureus* is known to play a significant role in skin diseases including superficial and deep follicular lesion, so the strong activity of the aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform extracts of *N. vogelli* indicated that they can be effective against skin infections. *Proteus mirabilis*, *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* are the most cause of urinary tract infection.

Considering the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values of the aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform extracts of the plant, the most potent activities were against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. This confirms its use by traditional medical practitioners for the treatment of stomach disturbances, urinary tract infections, skin infections and fungal infections. These results agreed with the findings of **Kurosaki and Nishi (1983)** that higher concentration of antimicrobial substances of the same extracts could show appreciably more growth inhibitions in being both bacteriostatic and bacteriocidal.

The plant being bacteriocidal is of great value. This is because as drug extract, administered for treatment, there would be no form of re-occurrence of the infection. Considering the aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform extracts of *N. vogelli*, it was found that they do not contain alkaloids as against the extracts of *N. imperialis* in which only the aqueous extract contain alkaloid as reported by **Idu et al. (2011)**. The methanol extract exhibited a stronger antimicrobial effect on all test organisms as compared with all other extract of *N. vogelli* (aqueous, ethanol and chloroform). The highest zone of inhibition was displayed by the test yeast: *Candida albicans* ( $20.0 \pm 2.0$ mm at 10mg/ml) and the test bacterium; *Bacillus subtilis* ( $16.5 \pm 2.5$ mm at 10mg/ml). Identifying the phytochemical constituents can help one to speculate on the medicinal value of the leaves of *N. vogelli*. Tannins have antimicrobial (**Ya et al., 1988**) and antioxidant properties. **Parekh and Chanda (2007)** reported that tannins are known to react with proteins to provide the typical tanning effect which is important for the treatment of inflamed or ulcerated tissues. These observations therefore support the use of *N. vogelli* in herbal remedies. The presence of tannins also showed that the leaves of the plant could be used as purgative, for cough, asthma and hay fever according to **Gill (1992)**. Saponin was found to be present in *N. vogelli* and supported the usefulness of these plants in managing inflammation. Saponins have been shown to have immense significance as antihypercholesterol, hypotensive and cardiac depressant properties (**Trease and Evans, 1985; Price, 1987**). Steroidal compounds present

in *N. vogelli* extracts are of importance and interest due to their relationship with various anabolic hormones including sex hormones (Okwu, 2001). Cardiac glycosides have been used for over two centuries as stimulants in cases of cardiac failure (Trease and Evans, 1985; Olayinka et al., 1992). This perhaps justifies the functions of the plant in treatment and management of hypertension.

## CONCLUSION

*N. vogelli* extracts are potentially useful antimicrobial agents. Bioactive substances from this plant can therefore be employed in formulation of antimicrobial drugs for the treatment of various bacterial and fungal infections and can be used for treatment of chronic and degenerative diseases such as cardiovascular diseases.

## REFERENCES

- BARRY, A. L. - THORNSBERRY, C. 1995. Susceptibility Test: Diffusion Tests Procedures. In LENNETTE, H. A. (Ed.): *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*. New York: American Society for Microbiology, 1995, p. 978-987.
- CHEESEBROUGH, M. 2000. *District Laboratory Practice in Tropical Countries*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000. 428 p.
- GILL, L. S. 1992. Ethnomedical uses of plants in Nigeria. Benin City: University of Benin Press, 1992. 276 p.
- HARBORNE, J. B. 1973. *Phytochemical methods. a guide to modern techniques of plant analysis*. First Edit. London: Chapman and Hall, 2003. ISBN: 0412572605.
- IDU, M. – OMOGBAI, E. K. I. - AGHIMIEN, G. E. - AMAECHINA, F. -TIMOTHY, O. - OMONIGHO, S. E. 2007. Preliminary phytochemistry, antimicrobial properties and acute toxicity of *Stachytarpheta jamaicensis* (L) Vahl. Leaves. In *Trends in Medical Research*, vol. 2, 2007, p. 193-198.
- IDU, M. -ORONSAYE, F. E. - IGELEKE, C. L. - OMONIGHO, S. E. - OMOGBEME, O. E. - AYINDE, B. A. 2006. Preliminary investigation on the phytochemistry and antimicrobial activity of *Senna alata* L. leaves. In *Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 6, 2006, p. 2481-2485.
- IDU, M. - ASOWATA, I. - ERHABOR, J.O. 2011. Preliminary antimicrobial and phytochemical study of the aqueous, ethanol, methanol and chloroform extracts of the leaves

of *Napoleonaea imperialis* P. Beauv.(*Lecythidiaceae*). In *Journal of Plant Development Sciences*, vol. 3, 2011, p. 225-231.

KUROSAKI, F. - NISHI, A. 1983. Isolation and antimicrobial activity of the Phytoalexin 6-metaxy mulleins from culture carrot cells. In *Phytochemistry*, vol. 22, 1983, p. 666-672.

OKWU, D. E. 2001. Evaluation of the chemical composition of indigenous spices and flavouring agents. In *Global Journal of Applied Sciences*, vol. 7, 2001, p. 455-459.

OLAYINKA, A. O. - ONORUVWE, O. - LOT, T. Y. 1992. Cardiovascular effects of the methanolic extracts of the stem bark of *Khaya senegalensis*. In *Phytotherapia Research*, vol. 6, 1992, p. 282-284.

ONWULIRI, F. C. 2004. Antimicrobial Studies of the Extracts of *Acalypha wilkesiana* L. on microorganisms associated with wound and skin infections. In *West African Journal of Biological Science*, vol. 15, 2004, p. 15-19.

ONWULIRI, F. C. - WONANG, D. L. 2005. Studies on the combined Antibacterial action of Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* L.) and Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) on some bacteria. In *Nigerian Journal of Botany*, vol. 18, 2005, p. 224-228.

PAREKH, J. - CHANDA, S. 2007. *In vitro* antibacterial activity of crude methanol extract of *Woodfordia fruticosa* Kurz flower (*Lythaceae*). In *Brazillian Journal of Microbiology*, vol. 38, 2007, p. 2-6.

PELEZAR, M. J. -CHAN, E. C. S. - KRIEG, N. R. 1993. *Microbiology: Concept and Applications*. New York: MacGraw Hill Inc., 1993. 967 p.

PRICE, K. R. - JOHNSON, T. I. - FENWICK, G. R. 1987. The chemistry and biological significance of saponins in food and feeding stuffs. In *Critical Review on Food and Science Nutrition*, vol. 26, 1987, p. 22-48.

SOFOWORA, E. A. 1982. *Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicines in Africa*. ChiChester: John Wiley and Sons, 1982. 178 p.

SOFOWORA, E. A. 1993. *Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicines in Africa*. Ibadan: Spectrum Books Limited. 1993. 289 p.

TREASE, G. - EVANS, C. 1985. *A Textbook of Pharmacognosy*. Twelfth edit. London: ELBS. 1985. 121 p.

YA, C. - GAFFNEY, S. H.- LILLEY, T. H. - HASLAM, E. 1988. Carbohydrate-polyphenol complexation. In HEMINGWAY, R. W. - KARCHESY, J. J. (Eds.): *Chemistry significance of condensed tannins*. New York : Plenum Press. 1988, p. 553-559.

VANDEPITTE, J. - VERHAEGEN, J. - ENGBAEK, K.- ROHNER, P.- PIOT, P. -HEUCK, C. C. 2003. *Basic Laboratory Procedures in Clinical Bacteriology*. Geneva: WHO. 2003. 175 p. ISBN 92 4 154545 3

WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (WHO) (1977). Resolution-Promotion and development of training and research in traditional medicine. W.H.O document No. 30. 49 p.