THE EFFECT OF INDIVIDUAL COMPONENTS OF TOTAL MIXED RATION (TMR) ON PRECISION DOSING TO MIXER FEEDER WAGONS
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ABSTRACT

At present, in large-scale breeding of cattle occurs no longer feeding with one forage feeding system. The cattle are fed with feeding technique called total mixed ration (TMR). In TMR are all the feeds (bulky and granary) and mineral and vitamin supplements mixed into a homogenous mixture. For the mixing of individual components of TMR are used mixer feeder wagons, that can be used not only for mixing of feeding ration, but also for discharging the fodder from wagon to fodder table in barn. Very important is the accuracy of dosing the individual components into the ration. The aim is to ascertain the precision during loading of individual components into the mixer feeder wagons. When loading, the dosing accuracy is influenced by many factors. Most important ones are used technique (loaders, hoppers, chopping devices, silage block cutters), human factor (expertise and responsibility of the operator), physical properties of the individual components (size, shape and density) and the loaded weight of components. On a cattle-breeding farms (600 pcs.), was performed accuracy monitoring of loading selected individual components of TMR, common to several kinds of recipes, such as CCM (corn cob mix), haylage, silage and straw into mixer feeder wagons Storti Labrador 120 and Cernin C11. These mixer feeder wagons are equipped with electronic tensometric scales and responder for transfer of data to PC. From the PC software was, by the individual components, investigated programed weight (kg), actually loaded weight (kg) and deviations between programed and actually loaded weight (%).
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INTRODUCTION

Nutrition of cattle affects not only the production intensity, but also the quality of meat and milk. It is decisive external factor of production of safe, high-quality biological products intended for direct human nutrition, or used for production of food (Suchy et al., 2011).

For dairy cattle with high milk yield is important to guarantee maximum dry matter intake and balanced concentration of nutrients in dry matter (Sindhój, Rodhe, 2013).

In the nutrition of dairy cows, is currently mostly used total (complex) mixed ration (TMR), which improves the digestibility of ration (Amaral-Phillips, Bicudo, Turner, 2002, 2010). Total mixed rations are designed as a homogenous mixture to help minimize the selective consumption of individual feed components by dairy cattle (Coppock et al., 1981). When cows are provided a total mixed ration (TMR) most feeding occurs soon after the delivery of fresh food (DeVries and von Keyserlingk, 2005), likely reflecting the higher nutritional value of the fresh TMR (DeVries et al., 2005 and Hosseinkhani et al., 2008). TMR improves digestibility, palatability and labor-saving (Alen, 2009). Especially in intensive breeding systems, the emphasis is placed on feeding with TMR (Javorek, 2011). While receiving the TMR, dairy cows are receiving destined ratio of the core and coarse fodder, including macro and micro elements and vitamins mixed together. TMR optimally solves the physiological needs of a cow and rumen microflora (Amaral-Phillips, Bicudo, Turner, 2010). These benefits of TMR are applied only when the feed ration (given to animals) is as close as possible to calculated ratio. Also when all ration components were sufficiently homogeneous stirred therein by mixer feeder wagon, according to Amaral-Phillips, Bicudo, Turner (2010) at most mixer feeder wagons is sufficient to stir 3 – 6 minutes, and the whole TMR was also consistent (must have a certain appropriate humidity). Failure to follow these principles has significant effect on the performance and health of animals.

In practice, there are basically three types of TMR. The first type is feed ration theoretical – calculated by optimization program and quantified sometimes up to two decimal places. The second type is a TMR that leaves the mixer feeder wagon and is discharged into fodder table in barn to animals (depending on the accuracy of loading and homogeneity – mixing of individual components). The third TMR type is the dose that cows actually receives. There are many factors influencing the difference between the theoretical and actual accepted ration. According to Stokes (1997), not only the accuracy of loading, but also the type of mixer feeder wagon, loading sequence of the individual components of TMR, mixing time and sorting of animals.

Mixer feeder wagons successfully proved itself in preparing TMR for dairy cows (Vegrícht J., et al., 2008), and in intensive breeding systems are used primarily (Javorek, 2013). According to the results of research in 2011 (in 250 Czech farms), feeding cows were provided in 87 % of stables using different types of mixer feeder wagons (MFW), or feeder wagons with floor conveyor (Vegrícht, Šimon, 2012). The feeder mixing wagon definitely include built-in tensometric scale that allows the preparation of rations exactly according to prescribed formulas based on exact recipe and also allows control of the amount of fodder given to animals (Vegrícht J., et al., 2008). An obvious modern equipment of MFW is a tensometric weight with program for management of ration preparing. Feed management software provides the tools that allow better control of whole farm nutrient balance if used properly (James, Cox, 2008). Thank to weighting system, components can be relatively accurately dosed. The MFW’s include different types of memory storage devices. This
allows storing of any of these recipes (Javorek, 2013), particularly up to 100 recipes and 24 components (Vegricht, Šimon, 2012), and currently even more. This is a data transmission system (common wireless transmission or transfer via USB), in which it is possible to save all the work cycles (Vegricht, Šimon, 2012). The software allows the compilation of individual recipes of loadings on PC and data transfer between PC and the weight of the wagon for the control of feeding, control of load and unload times and control of deviations between actually loaded amount and programmed amount of components.

Separating, loading and filling of individual components of TMR into carriage body of feeder mixer wagon can be made by self-loading equipment (eg. rotary cutter with cutter knives), which is an integral part of the wagon, or for filling and loading is used stand-alone device (eg. silage block cutter).

In terms of monitoring the process of filling and observance of the prescribed formulas are more preferred weighing systems showing laden mass of fodder continuously, when the weighing device monitors the course of loading and timely signals at the prescribed weight (eg. first signal upon completion of 80 % of dose and second after reaching 100 %). For example, according to Vegricht and Šimon (2012) is developed a sensor that measures the humidity of fodder sample and in case that its dry matter differs from dry matter used in calculating of ration, the software re-calculates and adjusts the needed amount of loaded fodder.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

On two farms for cattle (about 600 pieces) was performed monitoring of the accuracy of the loading of individual components of TMR, common to both farms and more kinds of recipes. The aim was to determine the precision when loading the components of TMR into mixer feeder wagon depending on their individual types and ways of management.

Monitoring was performed on trailed horizontal mixer feeder wagon Labrador 120 (year of manufacture 2003, volume of carriage body 12 m³) with one central mixing device (screw) with self-loading cutter mounted on a hydraulically positioned arm with loading the carriage body through the rear face. The second wagon was trailed vertical MFW Cernin C 11 (year of manufacture 2011, volume of carriage body 11 m³) with mixing van equipped with a single mixing device (screw).

Both mixer feeder wagons were equipped with three point electronic tensometric scales and a responder for data transfer to PC (Figure 1).

Mixer feeder wagon filling

Labrador 120 – loading of individual components was performed by loading cutter, which is an integral part of the vehicle.

Cernin C 11 – loading of individual components was performed by loader JCB 524-50 with the loader bucket.

Types of loaded components were common to both wagons: CCM (Corn cob mix), haylage, silage and straw.

The order of loaded individual components was determined by their order in the recipe (can be different for each recipe) has not been monitored. From PC software programs history, the difference between the programmed weight and actually loaded weight has been investigated (so-called operator error indicated in %).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The resulting values are shown graphically for an overview. Figures 2 and 3 are showing the numbers of precision, of over-limit and under-limit loadings for both types of loadings (Figure 2 Labrador 120 – rotary loading cutter, Figure 3 Cernin C11 – loader JCB 524-50) of all common components. When loading with rotary loading cutter is the number of over-limit loadings higher than 4.98 % than under-limit loadings. When loading with loader is number of over-limit loading higher about 87.15 %. Number of precise loadings with rotary loading cutter is higher about 7.25 % than in loading with loader.
The highest number of exact loadings was found in straw in both methods of loadings (35.71 % cutter, 15.79 % loader), shown in figure 12. The highest number of under-limit loadings was found in CCM (82.93 % cutter) and straw (9.02 % loader), shown in figure 13. The highest number of over-limit loadings was found in silage in both methods of loading (98.5 % loader, 80.49 cutter), shown in figure 14.
In case of dry components with low cohesion (straw) was found the highest number of exact loadings including all components when loading with loader (Cernin C11) with high accuracy. For components with higher cohesion (haylage, CCM) there was no exact loading.

It can be noted that the highest number of exact loadings including all components was found in loadings with loading cutter. For each component was the highest precision of loading found in straw for each method. A higher number of under-limit loadings was found in loadings with loading cutter.

When loading with loader was found a high number of over-limit loadings for all components and also higher number of over-limit and under-limit standard deviations from prescribed weight for each component. Also, the unevenness of loadings is in this method considerably higher (shown in figure 15 and figure 16).

CONCLUSION

We can conclude, that the precision of loading of the individual components into the mixer feeder wagon depends not only on the method (used technique), but also on the kind, i.e. properties of loaded components. Dry components with low cohesion (straw) are loaded more accurately and components with lower humidity and greater cohesion (hay) are already loaded less accurately with a predominance of loadings above the limit for both types of loading. For components with higher humidity (silage, CCM) is the number of accurate loadings low (loading with loader) or zero (loading by loading cutter). The number of over-limit loadings is high in loading with loader, in loading with loading cutter, prevalent in these components rather number of with under-limit loadings. Loading with loading cutter is considerably more even than with the loader.
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