THE MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY OF ANEMOPHILOUS POLLEN WITH ALLERGENIC POTENTIAL AFTER COLLECTION AND STORAGE
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to determine and compare microbiological contamination of anemophilous pollens (Betula verrucosa Ehrh., Betula verrucosa Ehrh. ‘Youngii’, Pinus sylvestris L., Pinus nigra Arnold, Pinus mugo Turra, Pinus armandii Franch., Pinus wallachiana A.B. Jacks) after collection and after not long-term storage. Samples were picked by hands aseptically in the territory of the same environmental conditions in Slovakia. Colonies of mesophilic aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, lactobacilli, total coliforms, fungi and yeast were found on pollen samples by dilution plating method. It was found significant differences in content of lactobacilli for birch pollens and fungi and yeast on pine pollens, depending on the stages – collection and storage. The microbiological quality of Betula pollen is better than Pinus pollen after collection and storage. Species factor affects the microbiota of anemophilous pollen.
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INTRODUCTION

Storage – the last stage before using pollen. Before that pollen collect, dry, clean, pack, use or keep. From the hygienic point of view, microbiological safety is the main quality criterion in bee pollen (Feáš et al., 2012). Pollen requires careful and considerate handling, just like any other plant product. Already before the collection anemophilous pollen contains microorganisms associations (Spiewak et al., 1996; Masclaux et al., 2011). It is natural, since this plant component is rich in nutrients (Medina et al., 2004; Brovarskij et al., 2010), and microorganisms are supplied with mechanisms for obtaining these substances (Madmony et al., 2005). For example, chytrids are among the few microorganisms able to overcome the barrier created by the exine and to grow on pollen. They might, thus, play an important role in damaging the pollen wall in order to permit other organisms, such as bacteria, to gain access to the cytoplasmic content of pollen (Masclaux et al., 2011). Alternatively microorganisms may be airborne contaminants that alight on the pollen during collection and processing (Madmony et al., 2005; Brindza et al., 2010; Shevtsova et al., 2013; Kačániová et al., 2014; Shevtsova et al., 2014). The process of collecting of anemophilous pollen is carried out manually. Collect this type of pollen, for example, for the production of allergenic drugs. It is possible to collect plant material in natural conditions before flowering, or to create the conditions of maturation in special rooms at the manufacture. Collecting, drying, cleaning will bring some pollen contamination by microorganisms. The main condition for the further application of the pollen is its microbiological quality, i.e. the content of certain groups of microorganisms within a certain range. Many scientists are working and already have results on the development of recommendations for bee pollen handling (Bogdanov, 2004; Campos et al., 2008; Brovarskij et al., 2010; Haní et al., 2012; Něžková et al., 2014). In connection with the fact of the microbial contamination of allergenic extracts (Mittag et al., 2013) in the treatment of hay fever, it is important to have a view of microbiota of pollen with allergenic potential. Therefore, the aim of this study is to examine the microbiological quality of pollen with allergenic potential after collection and storage. Two genus of plants were selected: Betula and Pinus. They are the representatives of the strong and weak pollen allergens and are common in European countries (D’Amato et al., 2007; Gastaminza et al., 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling and storage

The plant material was collected in April-May 2015 in the Botanical garden (BG) of the Slovak Agricultural University in Nitra. Topographic data of the BG are: altitude 144 m, east longitude 18°06’ and north latitude 48°19’. Pollen has been collected in the same area in order to eliminate the various effect of factor “habitat”.

Betula pollen has been collected from species Betula verrucosa Ehrh. (BV1) (syn. B. pendula Roth.) and its cultivar – Betula verrucosa Ehrh. ‘Youngii’ (BV2). Pinus pollen has been collected from the five species: 1PS, 2PS – Pinus sylvestris L., 3PN – Pinus nigra Arnold, 4PM – Pinus mugo Turra, 5PA – Pinus armandii Franch., 6PW – Pinus wallachiana A.B. Jacks. All the trees were without visible damage. The general view of the studied trees and their male generative organs are shown in Figure 1.

Pollen samples were collected by adhering sterile conditions. Pollen was dried at room temperature overnight and collected the next day, keeping sterile conditions. Samples were placed in a cooler and conserved until analysis at +4°C. Since some Pinus species flowers with a difference of one month, the microbiological analysis of pollen samples was carried out as to collect the material.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Betula verrucosa Ehrh. (BV1)" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Betula verrucosa Ehrh. 'Youngii' (BV2)" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Pinus sylvestris L. (1PS)" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Microbial analysis

Several groups of microorganisms were selected for the analysis on the basis of literature data and properties of microorganisms that can affect the quality of plant material. They are mesophilic aerobic bacteria, mesophilic anaerobic bacteria, lactobacilli, representatives of Enterococcus genus, total coliforms, fungi and yeast. Thus, microscopic fungi can produce toxic metabolites during storage, coliforms are indicators of microbiological purity, mesophilic anaerobic bacteria, from the viewpoint of food microbiology, are undesirable and grow well in aggregation with aerobic spore-forming bacteria (Medina et al., 2004; Nôžková et al., 2014). The presence of lactic acid bacteria is a favorable indicator of quality. The concentration of microorganisms in the pollen samples was determined by dilution plating method. One gram of each sample was suspended in 99 ml of sterile saline solution. After vigorous shaking during 30 minutes at room temperature, 10-fold serial dilutions were made up to $10^{-3}$. The 1000 μl aliquots of each dilution were spread on duplicate sets of media appropriate for determination of all group bacteria and 100 μl for fungi and yeast. The serial dilutions were inoculated on nutrient media. The plates with mesophilic aerobic and anaerobic bacteria were incubated on meat peptone agar medium (Imuna, Slovak Republic) for 48-72 hours at 25 °C in appropriate conditions; Lactobacillus were incubated on MRS Agar Modified (HiMedia, India) for 48-72 hours at 37 °C; Enterococcus – on Slanetz-Bartley medium (HiMedia, India) for 48-72 hours at 37 °C; coliform bacteria – on violet red bile with lactose agar (Pronadisa, Spain) for 24-48 hours at 37 °C and fungi on Sabouraud medium (Biomark Laboratories, India) for 5-7 days at 25°C. The data are reported as log cfu/g. The analysis was performed after collecting of pollen and repeated after storage of pollen samples after 4 weeks in a cooler at +4°C.

Statistical analysis

Results were evaluated by standard techniques using MS Excel and Statistica 10. Wilcoxon test was used for comparison the data for dependent samples.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microbial content of Betula and Pinus pollen samples is presented in Figure 2.
Pollen of *Pinus sylvestris* L. (1PS)
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**Figure 2** Microbial content of *Betula* and *Pinus* pollen samples after collection and storage

As can be seen from Figure 2 there is no general tendency in changing the microbiological contamination by different groups of microorganisms of anemophilous pollen of *Betula* and *Pinus*. Also, there is no a recurring order of decreasing or increasing the colonies of microorganisms according to the stages: collection or storage for the studied species of *Betula* and *Pinus*. In whole, the total number of mesophilic aerobic bacteria of *Betula* pollen after collecting is 4.85 log cfu/g, after storage – 4.93 log cfu/g, *Pinus* pollen – 5.54-4.72 log cfu/g respectively. The amount of mesophilic anaerobic bacteria of *Betula* pollen after collecting is 3.39 log cfu/g, after storage – 3.60 log cfu/g, *Pinus* pollen – 0.95-2.94 log cfu/g respectively. That is *Betula* pollen contaminated by mesophilic bacteria more. The number of lactobacilli is charged on the *Betula* pollen after collection as 3.30 log cfu/g, after storage – 1.00 log cfu/g, on pollen of *Pinus* –
1.78-1.83 log cfu/g respectively. On the content of the representatives of the Enterococcus genus pollen of all species is of excellent quality (0.00 log cfu/g), both after collection and after storage for one month. Pollen quality is worse because of the content of coliform bacteria: value of Betula pollen after collection is 4.47 log cfu/g, after storage – 4.34 log cfu/g, pollen of Pinus – 3.16-1.89 log cfu/g respectively. On the content of fungi and yeasts values are the next: for Betula pollen after collection is 3.87 log cfu/g, after storage – 2.06 log cfu/g, for Pinus pollen – 4.56-3.44 log cfu/g respectively.

It was found that Betula pollen after collection has a good quality under comparing received values of pollen contamination with the microbial recommendations of Campos et al. (2008): the amount of aerobic bacteria does not exceed <100 000 cfu/g (65000-77000 cfu/g), fungi and yeast – < 50 000 cfu/g (6000-9000 cfu/g); after storage quality of Betula verrucosa Ehrh. (BV1) pollen degraded by the content of aerobic bacteria (46000-160000 cfu/g). Pinus pollen is of poor quality after the pollen handling: the amount of aerobic bacteria exceeds the rate of 1.7-1.87 times, fungi and yeast – in three cases out of six (4PM, SFA, 6PW) in 1.2-3.9 times. After storage the microbiological quality of Pinus pollen is better: the content of aerobic bacteria exceeded the norm only in two cases out of six for 3PN (195000 cfu/g) and 6PW (358000 cfu/g). The number of colonies of yeasts and fungi is reduced and is not excessive.

When comparing the microbiological quality of anemophilous pollen, depending on the stage of the processing (collection and storage) for birch pollen found only a tendency to a predominance of Lactobacillus colonies in pollen after collection, compared with pollen after storage (p=0.068) (Figure 3). For Pinus pollen it was found significant difference in the presence of microscopic fungi and yeast (T=0, p=0.0022), indicating a preponderance of their colonies in pollen samples after collection in comparison with pollen after storage (Figure 4).

CONCLUSIONS

As a result of analysis, it was found that anemophilous pollen of Betula and Pinus differ in existing microbiota at the stage of collecting. After collecting birch pollen has better microbiological quality according to existing microbial aspects. There is a change in the composition of their microbiological associations at the stage of storage for one month at +4°C. There is a tendency to improve the microbiological quality of pine pollen by reducing the amount of microscopic fungi and yeast. Birch pollen does not lose its quality during provided storage conditions. The considered storage conditions of Betula and Pinus pollen can be recommended for widespread use or at least at the national level.
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Differences in microbiological quality of anemophilous pollen of Betula and Pinus under identical conditions of collection and storage can be explained by differences in chemical composition. Přidal (2003) compared the chemical composition of the different species of pollen, including pine and birch. Thus, the amount of proteins in the pollen of Betula is 23.02%, in Pinus pollen – 14.14%, lipids – 2.67 and 1.23 % respectively, carbohydrates – 16.69 and 30.92 % respectively, minerals – 2.97 and 2.24 % (Nőkvázi et al., 2014).

The main enemy of pollen quality in storage is its water content. High humidity is an ideal culture medium for microorganisms like bacteria, fungi and yeast (Szczenza et al., 1999; Campos et al., 2008; Nőkvázi et al., 2014). Therefore it is recommended to collect pollen and individual approach is necessary, which is due to its chemical composition and microbiological state (Nőkvázi et al., 2014).

Cooling, as in our case, reduces the rate of biochemical reactions of microorganisms. Under cooling decomposition retards and thermophilic species for which the optimum temperature is above 37°C and mesophilic bacteria with a temperature optimum of 10-40 °C do not multiply. For example, Escherichia coli stops to multiply at 2°C. The advantage of cooling that the food cannot be changed separate physical, but mainly chemical modifications. Therefore, sufficient cooling the product is unsuitable environment for the growth of microorganisms. Cooling can extend the shelf life of most foods only for a relatively short time. Přidal (2005) does not recommend to store pollen in a refrigerator at several degrees of heat as it is a result will grow mouldy. At preservation of products, cold storage will be used only to extend the shelf life of raw materials to the actual production process (Nőkvázi et al., 2014).

Thus, right collected anemophilous pollen from healthy plants may be used in pharmaceutical or cosmetic industry immediately after collection or after storage. To ensure the proper storage of pollen it is sufficiently placing it on the day in a freezer after collection and purification and then stored in a refrigerator in a dry and dark place, if pollen planning to use in a short time. In the case of long-term storage is better to freeze pollen.
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