POLYHYDROXYALKANOATES: BIOSYNTHESIS TO COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION- A REVIEW
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ABSTRACT

The global increase in petroleum prices and the growing concern about the harmful effects of petroleum based plastics has led to a shift from a petroleum based economy to a natural feedstock based economy. One of the major outcomes of this economy shift is the global acceptance of biobased plastics such as Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) as a replacement for traditional plastics. Even though PHAs have been described as useful polymers due to their intrinsic biodegradability and biocompatibility, the high price has limited their application significantly. The raw material cost has been known to contribute significantly to the manufacturing cost of PHA. Production of PHAs using agro-industrial residues offers an alternative use of low-cost feedstock to produce materials with appropriate physicochemical properties to be used in a broad range of applications. Therefore, much research has been carried out using renewable cheap raw materials such as molasses, lignocellulosic wastes, sewage, industrial by-products, whey etc. to replace the expensive commercial medium, which should reduce the overall production cost. This review highlights various microorganisms, substrates and fermentation strategies used for economical production of PHA.
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INTRODUCTION

Since 1950s, synthetic plastics or petroleum based plastics have emerged to be among the most needed materials in our daily life. These plastics are extremely stable in harsh conditions such as attack of chemicals and microbial decomposition, as a result of which they are quite durable, highly resistant and have a very long life span in the environment. Due to their excellent physical and chemical properties, these synthetic plastics have been ruling the commodity market since long. However, in spite of their useful qualities, petroleum based plastics are non-degradable and there are thousands of reports on the increasing environmental problems associated with discarded plastics. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) of the United States predicted the presence of high concentration of pelagic plastics and other debris in Central North Pacific Ocean in 1989 (Day et al., 1989). This assembly of plastic debris is now known as the Great Pacific Garbage Patch. According to National Geographic’s Encyclopedia, scientists have collected up to 1.8 million bits of plastic per square kilometer of Great Pacific Garbage Patch (Lovett, 2010). Additionally, according to the United Nations’ environment program, plastic is responsible for killing a million sea birds and 1, 00,000 marine mammals and turtles a year throughout the World. Increasing environmental awareness amongst the masses has thus, led the scientists to study polymers from alternate sources.

One such class of polymers which has the potential to compete with the synthetic plastics without having an adverse effect on the environment is known as Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs). PHAs are biobased polymers with properties that closely resemble the properties of synthetic plastics. PHA’s like synthetic plastics are moldable thermoplastics, and could be tailor-made for a number of applications varying from stiff packaging material to highly elastic materials used as coatings. Moreover the PHAs are completely biodegradable, thus making them a better option as compared to conventional plastics. PHAs are naturally produced by certain microorganisms and transgenic plants. PHB is a type of PHA that is resistant to ultraviolet radiations, water- insoluble and impermeable to oxygen. These attributes make PHB a suitable candidate for use as food packaging material (Aarthi and Ramana 2011). Since the past few decades the bioplastics industry throughout the World has been growing at a very fast pace owing chiefly to:

1. Encouragement of suppliers by retailers to adopt bioplastics for packaging
2. Public concern over the depletion of petroleum based raw materials
4. Shift in the focus of manufacturing companies towards the development of sustainable raw material sources.
5. Improvement in the properties of bioplastics
6. Increasing government support to bio-based products.

Inspite of having comparable physical properties to synthetic plastics and being environment friendly, the contribution of bioplastics to market is inconsequential. A major reason for this is low cost efficiency and yield properties of bio-based plastics. The cost of carbon source, fermentation process of the polymer, small production volumes and downstream processing (particularly purification) all contribute to their high cost of manufacturing. About 50% of the production costs of the PHA’s are attributed to the cost of carbon source. According to a report by ‘Bioplastics’, global bioplastic market has been growing at a very fast pace over the past few years with an expected production rise to 5.779 million metric tons by 2016. In 2006, one kg of PHB cost €10-12 (Kosior et al., 2006) chiefly owing to the raw material and purification costs. However, with advancements in technology, prices as low as €1.50 kg-1 have been achieved by certain companies. A number of studies have been conducted on the use of alternate crude carbon sources such as cornstarch, potatoes, sugarcane etc as discussed ahead. Although use of these carbon sources have led to a decrease in overall cost of production, but with the increasing food insecurity and increment in cost of these crops, the research focus has now shifted to non-edible agricultural residues. This review will discuss different aspects of PHA production highlighting the use of agro-industrial residues.

BIOPLASTICS AND TYPES

Classification of Bioplastics

Bioplastics are largely classified on the basis of their biodegradability, type of monomer structure and source of raw materials used. It should be noted by the readers that biodegradability is an inherent property of a material and is not the same as being biobased. Biobased materials can be non-biodegradable while petroleum-based plastics can be biodegradable.
Based on the structure of monomer, bioplastics can also be divided into three different categories viz. Short Chain Length (SCL), Medium Chain Length (MCL) and PHB copolymers containing monomers of short chain length and medium chain length (SCL-MCL) bioplastics (Tripathi et al., 2012). A third approach of classification of bioplastics is on the basis of raw material used for their production. Commonly used types of bioplastics are based on cellulose, starch, glucose and oil etc. Specific techniques are employed to convert these feed stocks into bioplastics.

1. **Starch based Bioplastics:** Various raw materials such as raw starch, modified starch (such as thermoplastic starch), polyactic acid and other starch-derived sugars can be used for the manufacturing of Bioplastics. A number of starch sources such as maize, wheat, potatoes and cassava are in use. Thermoplastic starch is the most widely used bioplastic, accounting for more than 50 per cent of the global bioplastics market. Industrially, starch-based bioplastics are often blended with biodegradable polymers. Pure starch is used for the production of drug capsules in the pharmaceutical sector because of its property to absorb humidity. By varying the amounts of flexibilizers and plasticisers (e.g. sorbitol) the characteristics of starches can be tailored to specific needs. Though these blends are no longer biodegradable, however they display lower carbon footprint compared to conventional plastics. Thermoplastic starch generally represents one of the various constituents of starch based bioplastics. The other constituents consist of water repellent and biologically degradable polymers like polyesters, polyester, polyvinylalcohols or polyetherethanines. Some of the starch based bioplastics include:

a) **Polyactic acid (PLA):** is one such bioplastics that resembles with fossil fuel based plastics such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP). PLA possesses an extraordinary stability and is highly transparent. In addition, its production does not require any changes in manufacturing facilities that already exist for the production of petrochemical based plastics. Major raw materials used for production of PLA include starch from crops such as corn, wheat or sugarcane and their fermentation into lactic acid followed by its polymerization. In case of corn, starch is first extracted and converted into dextrose followed by conversion into lactic acid by fermentation. This lactic acid is refined and used for manufacturing of different end-products. By changing the quality and composition of PLA, its biodegradability can be altered. One of the most significant disadvantages of PLA is that it softens at a temperature of about 60°C and hence cannot be used for packaging of hot drinks and food. However, co-polymerization with heat resistant polymers and the addition of fillers can provide an alternative.

b) **Poly-3-hydroxybutyrate (PHB):** is a type of bioplastic produced by bacteria that process glucose or starch. Its characteristics are similar to polypropylene, a crude oil derived plastic. PHB is different from most other biodegradable plastics as it is insoluble in water and resistant to hydrolytic degradation. A wide variety of PHA copolymers have been isolated from bacteria including marine freshwater cyanobacteria. Polyhydroxyalkanoates are the common chemical and physical properties with traditional polyethylene.

2. **Oil based bioplastics:** Plant oils such as Palm oil, Soybean oil and Corn oil are desirable raw materials for the production of bioplastics as they are relatively cheaper than most sugars.

a) **Poly-3-hydroxyalkanoate (PHA):** According to Akiyama et al., 2003, plant oils can provide higher cell biomass and PHA production (0.6 to 0.8 g of PHA per g of oil) due to their higher carbon content per weight as compared to sugars. Several bacteria are known to produce PHA from plant oils like, Burkholderia cepacia, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Comamonas testosterone and Cupriavidus necator (Kumar et al., 2011; Marsudi et al., 2008; Fukui and Doi 1998).

b) **Polyamide11 (PA 11):** is a non-biodegradable biopolymer derived from natural oil such as castor beans. It has a wide range of applications such as use in automotive fuel lines, sports shoes, electrical anti-terrace cable sheathing, electronic device components, oil and gas flexible pipes and catheters.

3. **Cellulose-based bioplastics:** Cellulose is the chief component of plant cell walls which is made of a large number of glucose monomers. Cellulose-based bioplastics are made from chemically-modified plant cellulose such as cellulose acetate (CA). Wood pulp, hemp and cotton are the common sources of cellulose.

4. **Lignin-based bioplastics:** Paper mill industry produces a large amount of lignocellulosic wastes as a byproduct. Lignin is a naturally occurring complex hydrocarbon and is the chief component of wood. Lignin differs from other hydrocarbons derived from sugars, starches and cellulose because it contains aromatic rings while polysaccharides contain long molecular chains. After cellulose, lignin is the most abundant renewable carbon source that is readily available, and can substitute many products currently sourced from petrochemical substances.

**POLY HYDROXY BUTYRATE (PHB)**

**Composition and Occurrence**

The occurrence of Polyhydroxyalkanoates in prokaryotic cells is known to be widespread. They are water insoluble compounds with general structure as shown in the Figure. They are normally highly crystalline, optically active and possess piezoelectric properties. They are biodegradable, don’t not leave any residue and have a melting point of 175°C. The melting point (∆Tm) crystallinity and glass transition temperature (Tg) depend on the composition of the product (Madison and Huisman 1999). One of the most common types of polyhydroxyalkanoates is PHB. PHA’s are thermoplastic polymers that are highly viscous at higher temperatures and thus can molded into desired shapes. The application of PHB blends varies from the fabrication of glues to hard rubber. Wide varieties of bacteria are capable of synthesizing PHA as intracellular carbon and energy storage materials (Doi 1990). These polymers are accumulated as a result of limiting bacterial growth and supplying an excess amount of a carbon source (Chenyu et al., 2012). Some reports suggest that prokaryotic organisms. However, PHB is brittle and hence needs to be synthesized as copolymers of 3-hydroxybutyrate and other hydroxyalkanoates with a relatively low molecular weight and melting point (Fukui and Doi 1998).

![Figure 1 General structure of PHA (Lee, 1996a)](Image)

**Synthesis of Bioplastics**

The Polyhydroxyalkanoic acids, especially PHBs are prepared by many prokaryotes and some eukaryotes in adverse or stress conditions, mainly in nutrient limited conditions. Bacterial genome contains cluster genes for PHA and other proteins related to the metabolism of PHA (Rehm 2003). The genes for class I PHA synthase (phaC), β-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (phaA) and NADP-dependent acetoacetyl-CoA reductase (phaB) constitute the pha CAB operon (Peoples and Sin斯基 1999). In order to synthesize PHB, two molecules of acetyl-CoA condense to form acetoacetyl-CoA. This reaction is catalyzed by β-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase. Subsequently an enzyme named acetoacetyl-CoA reductase reduces acetoacetyl-CoA to (R)-3-hydroxybutyryl-CoA which is then used as a monomer to polymerize PHB by PHB synthase (Figure 2) (Rehm 2003; Madison and Huisman 1999).

Gouda et al., (2001) also found that environmental stresses such as carbon and nitrogen limitation favor the synthesis of PHAs. A possible explanation to the accumulation of PHA under nutrient limiting conditions can be attributed to the inhibition of enzyme β-ketothiolase by CoA-SH. In conditions of oxygen limitation, the final electron acceptor is lacking, leading to an increased NADH/NAD⁺ ratio. As a result, many acetyl-CoA molecules cannot enter the Tricarboxylic Acid (TCA) cycle resulting in a decreased CoA-SH concentration. β-ketothiolase is not inhibited anymore and can direct acetyl-CoA molecules to PHA production (Vollbrecht and Schlegel 1979).

Moreover, nitrogen or phosphate limitation results in a reduced activity of anaerobic pathways leading to ATP excess which can be catalyzed by CoA accumulation leading to PHA production in a manner similar to that for oxygen limitation. It has however been observed that complete depletion of a nutrient causes growth cessation resulting in a decreased PHA storage capacity (Khanza and Srivastava 2005).
Microorganisms belonging to different groups including eubacteria, cyanobacteria, and archaea have been found to produce varying amounts of bioplastics depending on their nutritional and environmental conditions. Bioplastics derived from transgenic plants are also quickly gaining importance. The next section aims to give an overview of the microorganisms capable of synthesizing PHBs.

Eubacteria

Lemoigne, a French scientist for the first time in the year 1925 reported accumulation of PHB in the form of cytoplasmic inclusions in Gram positive bacterium Bacilllus megaterium. Thereafter, a number of bacterial strains among archaebacteria (Doi 1990), Gram positive (Findlay and White 1983), Gram negative bacteria (Shah, 2014) and photosynthetic bacteria (Hassan et al., 1997) including cyanobacteria (Jau et al., 2005) have been found to be associated with PHB accumulation. Most PHA synthesizing bacteria have been reported to belong to pseudomonad, coryneform, and bacillus groups which include Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Citrobacter, Enterobacter, Klebsiella and Escherichia (Arshad et al., 2007). PHB production is widespread in nitrogen fixing organisms like Rhizobium, Azotobacter beijerinckii, A. macrocytogenes, A. vinelandii (Tombolini and Nuti 1989; Senior et al., 1972; Stockdale et al., 1968; Page and Knopf 1989). Bacteria used for PHA production are classified into two groups depending on the culture conditions favoring PHA accumulation: the first group comprises of bacteria that require excess carbon source and limitation of essential nutrients such as oxygen and nitrogen for the efficient synthesis of PHA. The representative bacteria belonging to this group include C. necator, Pseudomonas putida and P. deororum. The second group involves bacteria that can accumulate PHA during exponential phase and do not require nutrient limitation. Some of the bacteria included in this group are Alcaligenes latus, Azotobacter vinelandii and recombinant E. coli harboring the PHA biosynthetic operon of C. necator (Khanma and Srivastava 2005). Culture conditions for PHA biosynthesis are an important criteria for the development of cultivation techniques for large scale production of PHA.

In addition to the above mentioned microorganisms, methylothsrops have also been found to produce PHB but give a low yield (Suzuki et al., 1986). Recombinant microorganisms, in particular recombinant E. coli, containing PHA biosynthesis genes from A. eutropha has been able to accumulate high amounts PHA (80-90 % of the cell dry weight) (Lee 1996a). Nutrient limitation is not required for the synthesis of PHB by recombinant E. coli strains which depend on the available amount of acetyl-CoA. PHA production in recombinant E. coli has many advantages: the bacterial cells grow very fast to high cell density which results in high productivities, a large amount of polymer is usually accumulated, several inexpensive carbon sources can be utilized, the PHA can be easily purified (Hahn et al., 1995) and there is no depolymerase system in recombinant E. coli that can break down the synthesized polymers (Lee, 1996a). On the other hand, PHA production by recombinant E. coli implies a very high oxygen demand during the high cell density culture of recombinant E. coli. This major drawback should be tackled in order to make the process economically feasible.

Cyanobacteria

Cyanobacteria are also known to accumulate PHA by utilizing CO2 and sunlight as carbon and energy sources. These oxygen-evolving photosynthetic bacteria naturally possess PHA synthase enzyme (Sudesh et al., 2000). However, till date only PHB homopolymer has been identified in most cyanobacteria. Among the various cyanobacteria that are capable of synthesizing PHA, Spirulina platensis UMACC 161 (Jau et al., 2005) and Synechocystis sp. PCC6803 (Sudesh et al., 2001) can accumulate PHB up to 10 % of the dry cell weight. Synechococcus sp. MA19 and Nostoc muscorum have been reported to produce PHB under photoheterotrophic growth conditions (Saharan et al., 2014). A study showed that Nostoc muscorum could produce PHB five times higher under mixotrophy, chemoheterotrophy with nitrogen-limiting state than what was produced under photoautotrophic conditions (Sharma and Mallick, 2005). Use of Cyanobacteria ability to produce PHB with energy obtained from sunlight can result in reduction of cost and CO2.

Archaea

Halorhodaceal strains do not require strict sterile conditions because of the high salt concentrations required in their growth medium to maintain cell stability. This makes cultivation more convenient and easier as compared to eubacterial strains. Moreover, cell walls of halorhacae easily lyse in the absence of salt, especially in distilled water; thus enabling the recovery of PHB and poly- (3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) from extreme halophiles much more easy and economical (Lillo and Rodriguez-Valera 1990, Han et al., 2010). A number of halorhacael genera, viz. Halofexus, Haloarcula, Halococcus, Halorubrum, Halobiflora, Halorhabdus, Halalkalicoccus, Halobacterium, Natrinema, Halotragoncilla, Natrinema, Natronobacterium, Natronorubrum, Haloterrigena, Halopiger, and Halococcus have been reported to produce different types of PHA’s (TeKin et al., 2012).

Eukaryotes

Eukaryotic cells are not known to synthesize PHB, though it has been reported that yeast and some other eukaryotic cells do contain small amounts of low molecular mass PHBs which function as complexes with polyphosphates in membrane transport. Moreover, production of PHBs especially in plants through genetic engineering is being evaluated as a potentially inexpensive alternative to prokaryotic production (Madison and Huisman 1999). PHB production has been reported in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, S. diastaticus, Candida krasei, C. tropicalis, Kloeckera apiculata, Kluyveromyces africans, K. lactis, Rhodotorula glutinis etc. Scientists are striving to come up with high PHB and related bioplastic producing strains of bacteria as well as eukaryotes. The importance of investigating novel strains lies in the possibility of replacing well-known industrial production strains with new ones, aspiring to a more productive and efficient polymer production process.

Production of PHB from Agro - industrial residues

The growing concern about the harmful effects of plastics has given impetus to the search of biodegradable alternatives which can compete with the petrochemical based plastics being used worldwide currently. However to produce an economically viable biodegradable plastic, it is necessary to focus on the cost efficiency and yield properties in respect to the production of synthetic plastics based on petrochemicals. The substrate and recovery costs in PHB production by fermentation are very high, making their use unattractive. Carbon source for PHB production accounts for up to 50% of the total production costs (Shivkumar 2012). One of the ways to cut down the production cost for bioplastic is the use of cheap, readily available industrial and agricultural waste as carbon and nitrogen source. The following section discusses the various agri-industrial residues reported to have been used in the production of PHB’s:

Molasses

It is a viscous by-product produced during refining of sugarcane, grapes, or sugar beets into sugar. It is extensively used as a carbon source in industrial scale fermentations due to its relatively low price and abundance (Zhang et al., 1994). In time 1992, for the first Page reported the accumulation of PHB by Azotobacter vinelandii UWD on sugar beet molasses achieving PHB concentration of 19 to 22 g/L. Chen and Page (1997) improved the PHB production (36g/L) using a two-stage fermentation strategy by A. vinelandii UWD: Albusqueque et al., (2007) on the other hand reported a three-step fermentation strategy for the production of PHAs from cane molasses. Step I was designed to allow fermentation of molasses into organic acids, followed by initiation of PHA accumulation in step II and eventually PHAs were produced using batch fermentation. Bengtsson et al., (2010) further investigated PHAs synthesis using fermented molasses and a consortium of microorganisms. The PHA yields were in the range of 0.47 to 0.66 C-mol PHA per C-mol of total carbon substrate. Khardenavis et al., (2007) reported PHB accumulation of up to 60% by waste activated sludge using molasses spentwash as substrate. Pseudomonas aeruginosa has also been reported to utilize cane molasses for the production of PHB in submerged fermentations processes (Deng and Wang, 2012). Naheed et al., (2012) reported the production of PHB using sugar cane molasses by Enterobacter sp. and Enterobacteriaceae. Chajamures and Udpay (2008) investigated PHB
production using *B. megaterium* ATCC 6748. A maximum of 43% PHB on cell dry weight was obtained using a feed containing 4% sugar cane molasses and 4% corn steep liquor (CSL). Similar PHB yields have been reported by various groups using different strains of *B. megaterium* (Gouda et al., 2001; Kulpreecha et al., 2009; Ginarte et al., 2011). Besides sugar beet molasses and sugar cane molasses, media based on soy molasses (with high sucrose content) have also been used for PHA accumulation in. *Solanum* (2006a) attempted to produce mcl-PHA from soy molasses using *Pseudomonas corrugata* and achieved a yield of 5-17% of PHA’s such as 3-3.5% PHB, 3% hydroxy-oxo-cetanoate and 3-hydroxy-2-methylpropanoate. Conversion of saccharides in soy molasses into PHA has also been reported in *Bacillus*. sp CL1 with a PHA accumulation of up to 90% of CDW (Full et al., 2006). Law et al. (2001) reported isolated Bacillus strains, HF-1 and HF-2 capable of synthesizing PHB from hydrolyzed soy and malt wastes. Hameiha et al. (2013) reported PHB production of 0.412mg/50ml and 0.367mg/50ml by *Lactobacillius acidophilus* and *Bacillus thuringiensis* respectively using date molasses as substrate.

**Whey and whey hydrolysates**

It is a by-product of diary and cheese industry that constitutes of the watery portion collected after the separation of fat and casein from whole milk. Cheese whey is normally produced in volumes almost equal to the milk processed in cheese manufacturers. The disposal of whey therefore causes serious pollution problems in the surrounding environment due to its enormous biochemical oxygen demand. Lee et al. (1997) constructed different recombinant *Escherichia coli* strains expressing *Cupriavidus necator* phaC2 gene for the production of PHB from whey, out of which one isolate grew up to 5.2 g cell dry weight per Liter (CDW/L). 81% of which was PHB. Ahn et al. (2000) used a similar recombinant *E. coli* strain CGSC 4401 and a whey solution, achieving a yield of 96.2 g PHB/L in 37.5 hours. Besides recombinant *E. coli*, the potential of PHA synthesis from whey has also been exploited using various common PHA producing bacteria, such as *Raltosia eutroph* DSM545 (Marangoni et al., 2002), *Pseudomonas hydrogonovora* (Koller et al., 2008), *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 (Pantazaki et al., 2009) and wild strains, such as *Methyllobacterium sp. ZP24* (Kath et al., 2008), *Hydrogenophaga pseudoflava* DSM1034 (Koller et al., 2011).

Povolo and Casella (2003) reported the production of PHB directly from cheese whey permeate by *Paracoccus denitrificans* DSM 413, *Sinorhizobium meliloti* 41. *Thermus thermophilus* HB8 (DSM 579) was reported to utilize lactose from whey-based media for the synthesis of polyhydroxyalkanoates under nitrogen limitation (Pantazaki et al., 2009), Baeti et al. (2010) reported the production of poly(3-(HB-co-27%)-HV) from whey hydrolysate using *Azohydrodomonas lata* DSM 1123. *Bacillus megaterium* CCM 2032 was shown to accumulate more than 50% of its biomass (w/w) in optimized whey media (Obrucia et al., 2006).

Fermentation strategies for the production of PHB from whey by recombinant *Escherichia coli* strain CGSC 4401 harboring the *Alcaligenes latus* PHA biosynthesis genes were developed. This recombinant *E. coli* was reported to accumulate 96.2 g/liter of PHA in 37.5h of incubation. PHAs production by recombinant *Escherichia coli* strain DH10B and JM10, harboring the structural genes of the polyhydroxyalkanoate synthases of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, using hydrolysates of corn starch and soybean oil as substrate, cheese whey as supplement. PHB yield of 25% and 28% was obtained in repeated fed-batch fermentation (Huang et al., 2006), R. elit and P. stutzeri have been reported to achieve PHB yield of 16.7 and 14.9% respectively using whey straw hydrolysates (Belal 2013). Shikavkumar (2012) reported PHB production by *B. thuringiensis IAM 12077 on different carbon sources like lactose (0.07 g/L), whey (0.14 g/L), rice bran (0.21 g/L) and ragi bran (0.32 g/L). In addition to whey and rice bran, several other agricultural residues have also been evaluated for the production of PHB. Jawar stem, a waste product after harvesting Jawar crop (*Sorghum bicolor*), usually used as cattle feed or as fuel in rural areas contains moderate amount of sugar. Ghate et al. (2011) reported the use of hydrolyzed jawar stem for PHB production by *B. subtilis* and *B. cereus* (0.034 and 0.049g/mL). Cow pit hydrolysates have also been reported to be used as alternate carbon source for PHB production by *Azoctobacter beijerinckii*. Production of PHB (2.4 ± 0.2 g/L) was maximized at pH 6.5 with 3.0% corn hydrolysates (Satheesh Prabhu and Muruguesan 2010). Besides sugar beet molasses and sugar cane molasses, media based on soy were also reported to utilize lactose from soil which could metabolize jambul seed (*Syzygium cumini*). Saha et al. (2013) used candy factory waste and fruit processing factory waste as substrates for PHB production by *Azotobacter chroococcum* MAL-201. They obtained PHA accumulation of 40.58 and 22.40 % on candy factory waste and fruit processing factory waste respectively. Oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB), contains abundant cellulose and hemicelluloses and can be used as a renewable resource for fuel and chemical production. Zhang et al. (2013) reported the use of OPEFB derived sugars to produce polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) *Bacillus megaterium* R11. It was observed that PHB accumulated in PHB up to 51% of its dry weight (CDW) from both glycerol and xylose.

**Glycerol**

Glycerol is the main by-product of the biodiesel industry with about 10% (v/v) of the volume of biodiesel. Due to the high volume co-production of glycerol, the world market price of glycerol has dropped rapidly making this by-product, a potential substrate for microbial production of PHAs (da Silva et al., 2009). *Pseudomonas patula* KT2442 has been reported to produce mcl-PHA from glycerol (Solyman et al., 2006b). Bornmann and Roth (1999) demonstrated the production of PHB from glycerol and casein hydrolysates as carbon and nitrogen sources, by using *Methyllobacterium rhodesianum* and *C. necator*, which produced up to 50% and 65% PHB in 45 h, respectively. Ashby (2005) investigated PHA synthesis by *Pseudomonas oleovorans* NRRL B-14682 and *Pseudomonas corrugata* 388. Sujatha and Shenbagarathai (2006) constructed recombinant *E. coli* strain with the phaC1 gene from *Pseudomonas sp. LDC-5* which gave a yield of 3.4 g PHAs/L on glycerol and fish peptone derived medium. Ashby et al. (2004) used crude glycerol, derived from a soya-based biodiesel production site, for PHA production using *P. oleovorans* NRRL B-14682 and *P. corrugata* 388. Promising results were published by Cavalheiro et al. (2009) in which C. necator DSM 545 was cultivated up to 68.8 g CDW/L on waste glycerol. Zhu et al. (2010) reported that *Bakholdina cepacia* ATCC 17759 could synthesize poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) from glycerol with concentrations ranging from 3% to 9% (v/v).

**Fats, Vegetable Oils and Waste Cooking Oils**

Fatty acids are known to deliver more energy per mole on conversion to PHA as
Wastewater

Production of PHAs from wastewater provides an economically viable alternative. Various organic wastewaters, such as municipal wastewater (Coats et al., 2011), biodiesel wastewater (Dobroth et al., 2011), food processing waste effluent (Reddy et al., 2012), brewery waste effluent (Liu et al., 2011) and Kraft mill wastewater (Pozo et al., 2012) have been tested for PHAs biosynthesis. Most of the cases involve conversion of organic carbon into volatile fatty acids in aerobic activated sludge in the first step, followed by PHA production using mixing cell cultures in the second step. Although the final PHAs concentrations are still low at the current investigated conditions, PHAs could accumulate to around or even over 50% of the cell dry weight in some cases (Liu et al., 2011). Vinasse, a highly polluting waste of the ethanol industry was utilized for the production of polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) by the extremely halophilic archaeon, Halofexus mediterranei leading to 70% maximum accumulation of PHA (Bhattacharyya, 2012). The production of PHB by Bacillus subtilis NG2220 was observed utilizing the sugar industry waste water supplemented with various carbon and nitrogen sources to yield 5.297 g/L of PHB accumulating 51.8% (w/w) of biomass (Singh et al., 2013).

UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM PROCESSING

A number of fermentation strategies have been reported for the production of PHB. Process selection depends upon the type of culture used, substrates, physiological conditions, fermentation processes and methods employed for the recovery of the final products. This section highlights the various upstream and downstream processes used in PHB production.

Fermentation Strategies

Pure culture PHA production

Pure cultures of PHA producing bacteria can be divided into two groups: 1. Non-growth associated: The non-growth associated PHA producing bacteria, for example C. necator and Pseudomonas species require nutrient limitation to accumulate PHA. Biomass growth and PHA accumulation are typically performed in two separate stages: The first stage is associated with biomass growth due to the availability of nutrients. In the second stage, due to the limitation or depletion of one nutrient PHA production prevails.

Table1 Bacteria used for production of PHA from plant oils and wastes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>PHA Type</th>
<th>Substrates</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alcaligenes latus DSM 1124</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>Soya waste, malt waste</td>
<td>Yu et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkholderia sp. DSM 1124</td>
<td>P(3HB)</td>
<td>Coconut oil, palm oil</td>
<td>Omar et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupriavidus necator H16</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>Bagasse hydrolysates</td>
<td>Yu and Stahl, 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupriavidus necator DSM 545</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>Waste glycerol</td>
<td>Cavalheiro et al., 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Cupriavidus necator P(3HB-co-3HVx)</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>Palm kernel oil, palm olein, crude palm oil</td>
<td>Loo et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Escherichia coli P(3HB-co-3HVx-co-3HO)</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>Soybean oil</td>
<td>Foncena and Antonio, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa IPO3924</td>
<td>mcl PHA</td>
<td>Palm oil</td>
<td>Marsudi et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas aeruginosa NCIB 40045</td>
<td>mcl PHA</td>
<td>Waste frying oil</td>
<td>Fernandez et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudomonas saccharivorans biowar. Tikehau</td>
<td>mcl PHA</td>
<td>Coprah oil</td>
<td>Simon-Colin et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Growth associated PHA producing bacteria: These organisms such as Alcaligenes latus and recombinant Escherichia coli don’t require nutrient limitation and PHA accumulation and growth occur simultaneously (Lee, 1996b). However, nutrient feeding strategy can be applied to obtain PHA production in fed-batch process. In fed-batch cultures of growth-associated PHA producing bacteria, a nutrient feeding strategy is essential for obtaining high PHA production yields. This is because both cell growth and PHA synthesis can be enhanced as both processes occur at the same time. The two processes need to be balanced in order to avoid low PHA levels (Khanma and Srivastava, 2005).

Mixed culture PHA production

Mixed Microbial Cultures (MMC) are defined as group of different microorganisms growing together on the same substrate. Three main processes are used to produce PHA from a mixed culture:

1. Anaerobic-aerobic (AN/AE) process: PHA production using the AN/AE system comprises of three steps. In the first Activated Sludge Treatment Plant (ASTP) is used for culture enrichment. This is followed by fermentations of industrial waste water and agro-industrial residues through acidogenesis into substrate containing Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs). These VFAs are then used for PHA production (Figure 3). However, under anaerobic conditions, low amounts of PHAs are produced (Satoh et al., 1996). One of the ways of improving PHA accumulation is to create a micro-aerophilic environment which allows substrate oxidation for increased energy generation (Satoh et al., 1998; Takahatake et al., 2000).
2. Aerobic dynamic feeding (ADF) system (feast and famine): ADF is the strategy of transient carbon supply where long periods of substrate shortage (famine period) are alternated with short periods of substrate excess (feast period) in a fully aerobic reactor (Figure 4). The PHA production occurs due to an intracellular component limitation. In the long periods of carbon limitation (famine), the macromolecular composition of the cells changes. As a consequence, the microorganisms need a physiological adaptation when they are exposed to high substrate concentrations (feast). Since synthesis of polymers requires less physiological adaptation than cell growth, storage is the faster response to the transient substrate supply (Dias et al., 2005, Daigger and Graddy 1982). Although product is only formed during the feast phase, the famine phase is also very important for the process feasibility. The famine periods should be short in order to obtain high volumetric productivities but on the other hand, they should be long enough to guarantee high and stable PHA storage capacities on the long term (Dias et al., 2005). Glycogen and PHAs are the most prevailing polymers produced using ADF strategy. This is because during the feast phase, substrate uptake rate is very high which results in NADH formation which is subsequently converted into ATP through oxidative phosphorylation. Once the ATP requirement for growth processes are satisfied, NADH starts accumulating resulting in production of storage polymers. Since, PHB production is NADH dependent but a selective separation method is necessary to remove NADH so that the PHB can be utilized as an internal carbon and energy source for growth. In depleted carbon source conditions, the accumulated PHB can be utilized as an internal carbon and energy source for growth (van Aalst-van Leeuwen et al., 1997). Only PHA producing organisms can develop during the famine period by degrading the PHA polymer. So during famine periods, cell growth of PHA accumulating organisms is not inhibited but continues at a more or less constant rate and during feast periods, an efficient competition for substrate takes place (Beun et al., 2000). The length of the feast and famine periods must be chosen to allow complete substrate consumption and significant depletion of the accumulated PHA respectively (Paul and Liu, 2012).

3. Fed-batch process under nutrient growth limitation: In this strategy, carbon substrate from industrial waste water and organic wastes is fermented through acidogenesis into substrate containing VFAs which are used for PHA production in a fed-batch reactor (Figure 5). The sludge present in the PHA production reactor originates from ASTP in which AN/AE or ADF conditions are established. This strategy can only be applied if the cells are previously formed and PHA accumulation is the only goal. Table 2 outlines the major difference in PHB production by using pure and mixed cultures.

Since PHA is an intracellular product, the method applicable for the effective separation of PHA from other biomass components can be complex and costly. Various methods have been reported for the recovery and purification of PHAs from biomass:

Solvent Extraction: This is the most commonly used method for the extraction of PHA from biomass. Among various solvents, chloroform is the most preferred solvent to carry out PHA extraction without its degradation (Hahn et al., 1995). Other halogenated hydrocarbon solvents such as dichloromethane, dichloroethane and chloropropane can be also used to extract and purify PHA from the cell biomass though these solvents can be potentially hazardous to health and environment (Ramsay et al., 1994).

Cell lysis by Sodium hypochlorite: In this method, the cell biomass is initially treated with sodium hypochlorite solution before the PHA granules are isolated from the cell debris by centrifugation (Berger et al., 1989). The use of sodium hypochlorite to extract PHA from biomass always results in severe degradation of PHA and yields PHA with a lower molecular weight (MW). In contrast, the use of surfactant pretreatment to recover PHA results in lower purity but less degradation of MW.

Enzymatic digestion: is a gentle but a selective separation method. Enzymes such as proteases (trypsin, chymotrypsin, rennin, papaain and bromelain), cellulases and lysozyme, are commonly used in this method (de Koning and Witholt 1997). Specificity of enzymes and mild operational conditions employed result in high reaction rates with little product damage. However, this recovery process requires that the culture broth should be provided with a short period of heat shock treatment before the enzymatic treatment so as to rupture the cells as well as denature and solubilize the nucleic acids. Without this preliminary heating step, the release of nucleic acid into the medium will result in a very viscous suspension.

CONCLUSION

As discussed in the preceding sections, raw material cost is one of the major reasons for the high price of PHAs. This necessitates the use of various cheap carbon sources for the PHAs production. However, the major challenge in using
these substrates is the low fermentation efficiency and final PHA concentration of these fermentations which leads to an increasing cost in product separation and purification. It has been observed that a change in the substrate from pure sugars to agro-industrial residues does not compromise with the properties of the PHAs. Therefore, the selection of the substrate should be made based on balanced considerations keeping in view the efficiency, costs and sustainability of the final product.
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