INDUCED TEMPORAL AND SPATIAL VARIATION IN HOST DEFENCE ENZYMES IN RESPONSE TO FUSARIUM WILT IN CHICKPEA

Jhuma Datta1,2 and Nand Lal1,2,*

Address(es):
1Department of Life Sciences, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur 208 024.
2Present Address: Department of Biochemistry, BCKV-Burdwan Campus, Burdwan-713101.

*Corresponding author: nl.pr@yahoo.co.in

ARTICLE INFO

Received 27. 10. 2017
Revised 21. 12. 2017
Accepted 2. 1. 2018
Published 1. 2. 2018

Regular article
OPEN ACCESS

ABSTRACT

The present study evaluated the defense response of Chickpea wilt resistant and susceptible cultivars inoculated with pathogen Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceri (Foc). Evaluation of pre-induced and pathogen-induced defense at 7 (S1), 15 (S2) and 30 (S3) days showed that the enzymatic activities differed not only within the root, stem and leaves but also between susceptible and resistant cultivars of chickpea and increased after inoculation with Foc. Peroxidase (PO) activity increased in all the tissues from S1 to S2 and declined thereafter. Conspicuous changes occurred at the rate of increase in activity of the enzyme between resistant and susceptible cultivars upon Foc inoculation over their corresponding control at the S2. Polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity in resistant cultivars increased by 30% with over uninoculated control and induction was up to S3. The enzyme activity diminished from S2 to S3 and even fell below control levels in susceptible cultivars. Catalase (CAT) activity followed peroxidase trend however it was induced at S1 in Foc inoculated and at S2 in un-inoculated plants. Increase in CAT induction was significant in leaf tissues of infected plants and continued up to S3. Phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) activity increased from S1 to S2 and thereafter; it either slightly decreased or remained unchanged at S3. Foc inoculation elicited a sharp increase in PAL activity in the leaf and stem tissues of resistant cultivars. Foc inoculated induced β-1,3-glucanase and chitinase activity in the test cultivars. Maximum induction of chitinase was observed at S2 in roots of resistant cultivars whereas un-inoculated plants showed much less conspicuous changes. β-1,3-glucanase activity was high in resistant cultivars. Both control and challenged plants had higher levels of β-1,3-glucanase activity at S2 and S3, but the proportionate increase was much higher in resistant cultivars. The expression pattern of these defense enzymes reveals their use as established resistance markers and provides scope for manipulating their expression and development of wilt-resistant transgenic chickpea.
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INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is a self-pollinated diploid (2n=2x=16 with genome size of 738 Mb) leguminous crop, cultivated mainly in semiarid environments of the world and ranks second in area and third in production among the pulses worldwide. Around 65% of the total global area and around 68% of total global production of chickpea falls in India. Although much progress has been made in developing chickpea lines with resistance to biotic constraints and tolerance to abiotic stresses, yield loss in these crops is very high due to the high incidence of diseases and insect pests. Chickpea wilt caused by Fusarium oxysporum Schl.Emend.Snyd. and Hans (Sp. ciceri (Padwick) is widespread in several chickpea growing regions of the world, especially the Indian subcontinent, Ethiopia, Mexico, Spain and Tunisia (Joshi et al., 2001). The wilt pathogen is both soil and seed borne and difficult to eradicate as fungal chlamydospores survive in soil up to six years even in the absence of host plant (Haware et al., 1996). Fusarium wilt epidemics can be devastating to individual crops and cause up to 100% loss under favourable conditions. Understanding of the molecular basis of pathogenesis and resistance mechanism is very important for developing effective strategy for management of wilt diseases. Plants activate a large array of defence mechanisms in response to pathogen attack and host-pathogen cross-talk. A crucial factor determining the success of these mechanisms is the speed of their activation which needs thorough understanding of how host plants recognize pathogen attack and control expression of defence mechanisms. The hypersensitive response of plants is accompanied by the synthesis of number of host proteins thought to be involved in defence mechanisms. Defense-related enzymes constitute an important protective system for plants against pathogen invasion such as PAL is the primary enzyme in phenylpropanoid metabolism and plays an important role in the synthesis of several defense-related secondary compounds known as phytoalexins (Tahsili et al., 2014). Differential expression of PAL genes was investigated using northern blot analysis in chickpea seedlings challenged with Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. ciceri (Arfaoui et al., 2007). Broetto et al. (2005) reported strong induction of the PAL and increased de novo synthesis of PAL mRNA in bean, Phaseolus vulgaris L. infected by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. phaseoli. Researches on elucidation of host defensive responses to pathogen invasion have determined catalase, superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (PO), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) and "pathogenesis related" (PR) proteins viz. chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase as vital defense-related enzymes of plants, and extensively studied in the research of plant defense against phytopathogens (Swarupa et al., 2014, Dehghani et al., 2015). Ramachandra et al. (2000) suggested use of β-1,3-glucanase as a biochemical marker for screening of downy mildew resistant cultivars in pearl mallow (Pennisetum typhoides) on the basis of differential enzyme activity among resistant and susceptible cultivars. Similarly, Giri et al. (1998) observed the differential induction of chitinase activity in susceptible and resistant cultivars of chickpea and decrease in β-1,3-glucanase in the resistant cultivars in response to the pathogen infection. The observed decrease in β-1,3-glucanase in the resistant cultivars contributed to reduction in pathogen invasion as a result of the defence reaction. Expression of the few member enzymes of host defense system may be constitutive while others are induced upon the attack of pathogen like enzymes involved in phytoalexin biosynthesis or induction of antioxidant and its related enzymatic system. Since information on pre-induced and induced biochemical mechanisms of resistance are pre-requisite for better understanding of the pathogen-host interaction and provide basis for better management of disease, the present investigations were carried as an attempt to analyse and correlate the biochemical basis of disease resistance, by measuring levels of peroxidase, catalase, PPO.
PAL, β-1,3-glucanase and chitinase spatially and temporally in wilt resistant and wilt susceptible cultivars of chickpea.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Plant material, pathogen inoculation and sample collection for biochemical analyses**

Two each of wilt resistant (WR 315, ICC 4958) and wilt susceptible (JG 62, BG 256) cultivars of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) were used for the present study. The *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* (Foc) pathogens were isolated from fourth-node stem sections taken from wilted chickpea plants according to the procedure described by Tullu et al. (1998) and were colonized on filter paper, dried in the transfer hood, and aseptically cut into small pieces. The colonized filter paper pieces were placed in potato-dextrose broth and incubated to produce liquid cultures of the pathogen. The liquid cultures were filtered through cheese cloth to remove mycelia. The spore suspension was pelleted by centrifugation. After discarding the supernatant, the conidia were washed with sterile water to adjust the spore suspension to 1 x 10^4 spores ml^-1 with a haemocytometer. Single spore culture of fungus was obtained by serial dilution method. Isolated fungus was identified as *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri* and its pathogenicity test was conducted in pot experiments on chickpea cultivar, JG 62. Plastic pots of 30 cm diameter, surface-sterilized with 0.1% w/v merciric chloride (HgCl_2), were filled with 2 kg sterilized soil (three subsequent sterilizations at 1.1 kg/cm^2 for 3 h for 3 days). Seven days before sowing, pots were inoculated with the 14-day-old culture of the pathogen multiplied on sand maize meal water medium (90 g sand, 10 g maize meal and 20 ml distilled, sterilized water) @ 50 g kg^-1 soil. Ten seeds (surface-sterilized using 2% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min, and rinsed in sterile water) of each cultivar were sown in each pot for disease scoring. The root, shoot and leaf tissues were collected separately at 7 (S1), 15 (S2) and 30 (S3) days after sowing (DAS) and were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen.

**Isolation and activity assay of catalase** (EC 1.11.1.6)

The root, shoot and leaf tissues were homogenized in 0.1 M Borate buffer (pH 8.8) at 4°C. Homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C and 38000 X g and the supernatant used as enzyme source. The activity of L-phenylalanine ammonia-lyase was determined by the method of Zucker (1965) with certain modifications. Assay mixture consisted of 1.5 ml Borate buffer, 1.0 ml H_2O, 1.0 ml phenylalanine solution (10 µM) and 0.5 ml enzyme extract. After incubation of this assay mixture for 2 hrs at 38°C water bath, the change of absorbance at 290 nm compared to a reference sample without added substrate was measured with a spectrophotometer. PAL activity was expressed as ΔA/min ml^-1.

**Isolation and activity assay of chitinase** (EC 3.2.1.14)

Defatted and depigmented tissue powder was stirred with extraction buffer (1:6 w/v in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 6.9 containing 0.05 M NaCl) to 4°C for 12 hrs. The mixture was centrifuged at 10,000 X g for 20 min and the proteins from the supernatants were precipitated by adding ammonium sulphate ([NH_4]SO_4) to 90% saturation (60 g ammonium sulphate per 100 ml extract). The precipitated protein were collected by centrifugation, resuspended and dialyzed against the extraction buffer (Giri et al., 1998).

**Chitinase activity was determined as described by Chen et al. (1982) and Tsukamoto et al. (1984).** The reaction mixture contained 1.0 ml of colloidal chitin solution (7 mg), 1.0 ml of sodium carbonate buffer (50 mM, pH 5.2) and 1.0 ml of suitably diluted enzyme. After incubation at 50°C for 1 hr, the released reducing sugar was measured as N-acetyl glucosamine (NAG) equivalents by the method of Reissig et al. (1955). One unit (U) of chitinase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that produced 1 mg of NAG per hour, under the given assay conditions and expressed as U per gram fresh weight (U gfw^-1).

**Isolation and activity assay of glucoseanase** (EC 3.2.1.39)

β-1,3-glucanase activity was estimated using the procedure of Koga et al. (1988). The assay mixture contained 1.0 ml of suitably diluted enzyme and 1.0 ml of 1% lamarinol solution in sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 5.2). The mixture was incubated at 40°C for 30 min and the released reducing sugar was measured as glucose equivalents (Somogyi, 1952). One unit (U) of β-1,3-glucanase activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that produced 1 mM of glucose (C_6H_12O_6) per hour, under the given assay conditions.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Spatial and temporal activity of peroxidase**

Peroxidase activity increased in all the tissues of all the varieties from pre-inoculation (S1) to disease initiation stage (S2) and declined thereafter at S3 i.e. severe disease stage (Figure 1). This pattern was prominent in case of all challenged as well as un-inoculated plants. In case of S2 stage, irrespective of challenged or un-inoculated plants, there was a significant increase in activity of peroxidase in all the tissues over S1 stage. Conspicious changes occurred at the rate of increase in activity of the enzyme between resistant and susceptible cultivars upon inoculation with respect to their corresponding control at this stage. The peroxidase activity increased 3.4 fold in root of resistant variety WR 315 in contrast to its control. However, roots of challenged susceptible variety recorded 2.0 fold increase in enzyme activity compared to respective control. Similarly, the rate of increase in the resistant varietis was up to 3.4 fold, whereas, in susceptible variety it was up to 1.5 fold in stem tissue of *Foc* treated samples. In case of leaves, the increase in activity of this enzyme was recorded as up to 12% in the resistant varieties of chickpea upon inoculation. Whereas, the activity of peroxidase increased by 3.46% in leaf tissue of susceptible cultivar compared to control after 15 DAS. Irrespective of inoculated or un-inoculated plants, there was a significant decrease in enzyme activity was observed at S3 but comparative level of induction of peroxidase was higher in resistant cultivars.

**Spatial and temporal activity of polyphenol oxidase**

At S1 stage, under control condition, susceptible chickpea cultivar JG 62 root tissue had the maximum PPO activity (47.32 U gfw^-1), whereas resistant variety WR 315 exhibited the minimum (44.34 U gfw^-1) activity (Figure 2). Resistant varieties showed induction of PPO activity in roots, whereas the activity in susceptible varieties remained almost unchanged upon inoculation with *Foc*. Almost all the varieties showed induction at a lower rate at S1 stage in the stem and leaf tissues. At disease initiation stage (S2), increasing rate of induction was attained by resistant cultivars and the induction continued up to severe disease stage (Figure 2). Conversely, induction in susceptible varieties increased at S2 stage compared with those in un-inoculated plants. PPO inoculation elicited a remarkable increase in the rate of enzyme activity in the resistant cultivars of chickpea especially at stem tissue. In the stem tissue of chickpea, the PPO activity in resistant varieties increased by 16.2 and 30% with respect to control. In susceptible cultivars BG 256 and JG 62 activity increased by 14.6% and 21.6%, respectively, compared to the controls. Upon pathogen infection, the maximum activity induction was observed in WR 315, 25.8, 8.8% and 2.5%, respectively, in root tissues of all the varieties of chickpea at S2 stage. Induction of the PPO activity continued up to S3 in resistant cultivars and the enzyme accumulated at a
significant higher rate in comparison to un-inoculated plants. During progression from S2 to S3 stage, the level of the enzyme diminished and even fell below the control in susceptible varieties.

Spatial and temporal activity of catalase

Highest activity of catalase (180 µmol H$_2$O$_2$ used min$^{-1}$ gfw$^{-1}$) in roots was observed in BG 256 (control) and lowest (161 µmol H$_2$O$_2$ used min$^{-1}$ gfw$^{-1}$) in ICC 4958 (un-inoculated control) at S1 stage. At this stage, in stem tissue, highest activity of catalase (198 µmol H$_2$O$_2$ used min$^{-1}$ gfw$^{-1}$) was observed in ICC 4958 (control) and lowest (167) in JG 62 (control) (Figure 3). Catalase activity in susceptible cultivar of chickpea, BG 256 increased by 3.70% in root at S2 stage with respect to its control, whereas, in another susceptible cultivar, JG 62, this change was non-significant. Resistant cultivar WR 315 showed increased activity by 14.90% in root tissue. In stem tissues of susceptible variety, BG 256, the activity increased by 7.90%. The major changes were observed in leaf tissues where increase in activity of catalase in resistant cultivar WR 315 and ICC 4958 were 1.4 and 1.5 times, respectively. Increase in activity in cv. JG 62 was 1.2 times and changes in another susceptible variety, BG 256 were non-significant in leaf tissue at S2 stage upon inoculation with Foc over their corresponding controls. Unlike peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase, induction of catalase was mainly in the leaf tissues and continued up to S3 stage. Levels of catalase activity in leaves of challenged plants were about 1.36 and 1.19 times in the resistant cultivars and 1.3 in the susceptible variety JG 62 compared to respective controls (Figure 3).

Spatial and temporal activity of phenylalanine ammonia lyase

There was significant increase in PAL activity from S1 to S3 stage (Figure 4) irrespective of whether the plants were inoculated or un-inoculated type. The activity either slightly decreased or remained unchanged at S3 stage. At S1 stage, highest PAL activity was observed in susceptible chickpea cv. JG 62 under uninoculated condition. At S2 stage, resistant cultivars showed up to 28% increase in activity in roots (Figure 4). As compared with the un-inoculated controls, *Fusarium* inoculation elicited a sharp increase in the PAL activity at this stage in the leaf and stem tissues. In stem tissues, there were 36.50 and 26% increase in PAL activity in resistant varieties and 12.80 and 7.00%, respectively, in susceptible varieties with respect to their controls. Similarly in the leaf tissues, PAL activity increased up to 41% in the resistant varieties but remained unchanged in the susceptible varieties. At S3 stage, highest activity was attained by stem and leaf tissue of resistant cultivars upon infection with respect to its control.
Spatial and temporal activity of chitinase

Induction of chitinase in chickpea was significantly high in leaves. Like peroxidase and PPO, chitinase activity showed a marked increase in different tissues upon inoculation of the plants with *Fusarium*. In general the maximum enzymatic activity was observed in resistant cultivars at S2 stage. The enzyme activity increased progressively from S1 to S2 stage and thereafter showed decrease with progression of the disease and was very low at S3 stage (Figure 5). On the contrary, these changes were much less conspicuous in case of un-inoculated plants, where the increase or decrease was only marginal. At S1 stage, maximum constitutive level of chitinase activity was observed in leaf, stem and root tissue of susceptible cv. JG 62. The enzyme activity in these tissues was 4.34, 4.17 and 3.99 U gfw⁻¹, respectively (Figure 5). Maximum induction of chitinase activity was observed at S2 stage in the root tissue. In resistant cultivar WR 315 this increase was 3.08 fold and in cv. ICC 4958 increase was 2.3 fold. This increase in activity was lower in susceptible cultivars (1.9 fold only). The enzyme activity significantly increased in comparison to control in the stem tissue of resistant cultivars. More than three-fold increase in enzyme activity was observed in resistant plants compared to respective controls but in susceptible cultivars this increase was only 1.18 and 2.24 fold. At S3, in both control and inoculated plants the increase in activity was not very prominent but resistant cultivars showed higher activity of chitinase than susceptible cultivars.

Spatial and temporal activity of β-1,3-glucanase

Glucanase activity in different tissues of chickpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt

**Figure 6 Glucanase activity in different tissues of chickpea cultivars differing in susceptibility to *Fusarium* wilt.**

Spatial and temporal activity of β-1,3-glucanase

Glucanase activity was high in stem and leaf tissues. Inoculation of the plants with *Fusarium* recorded a marked increase in glucanase activity in different tissues. In general the maximum enzymatic activity was observed in resistant cultivars at S2 stage and the same was more or less maintained up to S3 stage. This is in contrast to the activity pattern of other enzymes whose levels showed significant decrease at S3 stage. Both control and challenged plants had higher level of activity at later stages of disease development, but the proportionate increase was much higher in resistant cultivars (Figure 6). In case of chickpea, at S1 stage maximum (0.67 mM glucose h⁻¹g⁻¹) constitutive level of glucanase activity was observed BG 256 roots. Minimum activity was found in WR 315 (0.30 mM glucose h⁻¹g⁻¹) (Figure 6). At disease initiation stage (S2) maximum induction of enzymatic activity was in resistant chickpea cultivar WR 315, where this increase was three fold in root and stem compared to control plants of same stage. Whereas, under similar condition, in wilt susceptible chickpea cultivars, the enzymatic activity increase was only 1 to 1.5 fold.

**DISCUSSION**

Induction of plant defence against pathogen attack is regulated by a complex network of different signals. In the present study, pathogen-host interaction enhanced defence responses in resistant cultivars of chickpea. Spatial and temporal changes in various enzymes investigated in *Fusarium* infected and un-inoculated plants showed that induction of plants’ own defence system started only after the infection by respective pathogen, and subsequently resulted in hypersensitive reaction conferring resistance. Chickpea plants exposed to *Foc* showed enhanced synthesis of pathogenesis related proteins, activities of PAL and other antioxidant enzymes relative to their controls.

Spatial and temporal activity of anti-oxidant enzymes (PO, PPO and catalase)

Peroxidases are one of the elements of the defence system that are stimulated in plants in response to pathogen infection like *Fusarium oxysporum* (Morkunas and Gnerick, 2007). The present results indicate that PO, PPO and catalase activities increased in chickpea tissues after inoculation with *Foc*. In comparison to susceptible cultivars, activities were higher in the resistant cultivars at disease initiation stage. The results are in conformity with Rathi et al. (1996) who also reported higher PO activity in leaves of wilt resistant variety of pigeon pea. Similarly, Xue et al. (1998) reported that after treatment with bi nucleate *Rhizoctonia* species, total peroxidase increased two fold in bean tissues of disease resistant plants compared with control.

Peroxidase activity also showed marked increase even in stems and leaves (other than inoculated parts) of chickpea cultivars. A three-fold increase in peroxidase activity in distant tissues has been reported by other workers as well in the tissues of plants attacked by necrotizing pathogens including *Rhizoctonia and Fusarium* species (Anuratha et al., 1996; Mauch et al., 1988). Pathogenic attack on plants appears to cause alterations in protein (enzyme) synthesis in the host leading to the development of local resistance or immune layer around infection site which depends on the speed and extent of pathogenesis-related proteins and the accumulation of phytoalexins. Inoculation with inducers resulted in accumulation and shift of peroxidase activities in plant roots along with other defence enzymes. Peroxidase action could still happen in an indirect way through the activity of sub products, which posses an antimicrobial activity or by inducing the formation of new enzymes. In plants *Fusarium* infected chickpea cultivar, BG 256 showed 20% increase in peroxidase activity in stem tissue at S2 stage, where as the resistant cv. ICC 4958 showed only 3.4% increase under similar condition. In susceptible plants, high level of enzyme activities are maintained as the pathogen remains active in the plant tissue (Beckman and Roberts, 1995). Comparative lower rate of induction in resistant cultivars may be associated with the reduction in the pathogen invasion as a result of the defence reaction.

Higher activity of PO was observed in resistant chickpea cultivars than susceptible cultivars, upon inoculation by *Fusarium* and the difference was prominent in root and stem than in the leaf tissues. Retig (1974) proposed that host-pathogen interaction induces the peroxidase and phenol oxidades that increase the production of phenols oxidized at the cell walls and through this activity the cell efforts for the establishment of a physicochemical barrier, able to isolate the infected areas. In current observations on chickpea, a significant increase in activity of resistant cultivars WR 315 and ICC 4958 was noticed over susceptible varieties where increase was 2.2% (BG 256) and 2.5% (JG 62) in root tissue. In stem, again the increase was very substantial and proves the resistant nature of WR 315 and ICC 4958. Induction of PO increased by up to 52% over the susceptible varieties. Similar results were observed by Raju et al. (2008) in chickpea showing higher PO activity in resistant cultivar ICCV 10 upon infection. They induced PPO-2 isoform in roots and shoots treated with salicylic acid, spermendine and the pathogen which have also been implicated in defence responses against the pathogen invasion. However, no induction of PPO was observed in susceptible cultivars. In the present study, induction was not noticed in susceptible cultivars in root, stem and leaves at severe disease stage (S3) upon inoculation. Systemic induction of PO and other enzyme activities increased to the level of tolerance or immune layer around the infection site. Cells in the immediate vicinity of infection site die making it impossible for the pathogen to spread.
through the plant. Such hypersensitive responses of the plants result in enhanced resistance of the unaffected plants known as induced or acquired resistance (Van de Rhee et al., 1994).

Spatial and temporal activity of phenyl alanine ammonia lyase

In our studies PAL activity increased in response to pathogen treatments as observed by Song et al. (1993) and Arfaoui et al. (2007). In another study, chickpea seedlings exposed to cell wall proteins of F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri, showed a significant increase in PAL activity. However, PAL activities were not related to the activity of PAL and peroxidase relative to water treated controls (Saikia et al., 2005). Stadnik and Buchenauer (2000) also reported the enhancement of PAL activity and accumulation of cell wall-bound phenolic compounds in wheat plants treated with BTH, a novel systemic acquired resistance (SAR) inducer in response to an incompatible interaction. The same was shown by increase of PAL activity in pathogen treated chickpea seedlings and except for the control treatment, there were differences in PAL activity among the different cultivars. Increased activity of PAL in resistant cultivar might have prevented the fungal invasion and therefore maintained at higher levels during the experimental period. Increased accumulation of phenolics has been reported in the resistant cultivar than the susceptible cultivars after Fusarium infection (Datta and Lal, 2012).

Spatial and temporal activity of Chitinase and β-1,3-glucanase

Inhibition of growth of several fungi requires the presence of chitinases and β-1,3-glucanases activities for depolymerisation of cell wall polymers (Saikia et al., 2005). Induction of chitinases and β-1,3-glucanases in plants treated with stress agents or infected with various fungi is common (Krebs and Grumet, 1993). Ferraris et al. (1987) found that infection with F. oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici caused several fold increases in chitinases, β-1,3-glucanases, glucosidases and N-acetyl-glucosaminidases activities in susceptible and resistant tomato cultivars from 5 to 90 days after inoculation. The present study in chickpea proved that chitinase activity was increasing 3.08 and 2.33 fold in root tissue, 2.45 fold and 3.43 fold in stem tissue, 3.15 fold and 3.5 fold in leaf tissues of chickpea resistant cultivars whereas in susceptible cultivars it was increased up to 2 fold upon infection. Similarly, in case of β-1,3-glucanases, the activity increased by 2-3 fold in resistant cultivars and 1-1.5 fold in susceptible varieties of chickpea. Giri et al. (1998) analysed the levels of these two enzymes in resistant and susceptible cultivars of chickpea during Fusarium wilt development and found induction of chitinase activity in both resistant and susceptible cultivars; however enzyme activity in susceptible cultivar JG 62 was much lower than that of resistant cultivar. Further, the activities of these two enzymes increased several fold from S1 to S2 stage both in control and treated condition and declined thereafter and the induction was very low in the control condition. This fact is also supported by work of Saikia et al. (2005) where purified proteins also exhibited antifungal activity by blocking fungal growth of different fungal species, e.g. F. oxysporum f. sp. ciceri, F. udum and M. Phaseolina. In general, chitinase exhibited more antifungal activity in comparison to β-1,3-glucanase in vitro. Rashkit et al. (2000) reported that increase in β-1,3-glucanase activity was most conspicuous and drastic in resistant genotypes as compared to the susceptible ones in pea after infection with powdery mildew. Xue et al. (1998) observed relatively higher activity of chitinase in the cotyledons of bean plants than hypocotyls and a significant increase of peroxidase, β-1,3-glucanases and chitinase in all cellular fractions of diseased plants as compared to control, and β-1,3-glucanases activity recorded increase up to 18 fold in induced bean hypocotyls tissues. Similar increases, but not as pronounced have been reported in pea after infection with powdery mildew. The present results show a rapid increase in PAL activity in resistant cultivars whereas in susceptible cultivars activity increased up to 2 fold at 10 and 18 days after germination. The increase in activity in susceptible hosts may have been a result of failure of containment of the pathogen and the colonization of ever increasing amounts in the vascular tissue. Benhamou et al. (1990) observed faster accumulation of these enzymes in incompatible interactions of tomato and Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici or Fusarium radicis-lycopersici than in a compatible interaction. Beckman and Roberts (1995) in their model for host-pathogen interaction, suggested callose deposition and lignifications as one of the plants responses against wilt disease and rate of these processes determining the degree of reduction of pathogen invasion. The observed decrease in β-1,3-glucanase activity in resistant cultivars in comparison to susceptible cultivars might be associated with the higher rate of callose deposition. When expression of β-1,3-glucanase is specifically blocked by the antisense RNA technique, callose deposits are protected from degraddation, resulting in resistance to viral infection in tobacco (Beffa et al., 1996). In the present study, levels of chitinase and β-1,3-glucanases are reduced as the infection is confined at S3 stage. This decrease may be associated with the reduction in the pathogen invasion as a result of defence reaction. In summary, this study indicates that Fusarium are inducers of these enzymes at both the local and systemic level in tissues of chickpea.

Antibiotic phenols have been found in all cultivars of chickpea investigated in the present study (Datta and Lal, 2012). Some occurred constitutively and were thought to function as pre-formed inhibitors. Others are formed in response to the ingress of pathogen and their appearances are considered as part of an active defence response. The de novo synthesis and differential accumulation of antifungal phytoalexins in incompatible and compatible plant pathogen interactions play crucial roles in the specificity of host resistance. Priming responses by a pathogen raising the constitutive level of phytoalexin biosynthesis gene could be an efficient and inexpensive way of achieving the control of Fusarium wilt in chickpea. The present results show that the infection by Fusarium can effectively result in the accumulation of PAL. Several hypotheses can be formulated to explain the level of phenolics and PAL in the plants following Foc infection like (i) de novo synthesis of phenolic or accumulation of defence related genes such as PAL, and (ii) increase in accumulation of phenolics may occur, upon challenge by Fusarium, and release of phytoalexins from their preformed conjugated forms. Furthermore, these two mechanisms generating phytoalexins can act synergistically. Datta and Lal (2012) also confirmed that the accumulation of phenolic compounds in resistant cultivars was induced only in the plants inoculated with Foc although both susceptible and resistant cultivars were responsive to the Fusarium inoculation in inducing the defence related genes. Induced resistance is multicomponent and it is necessary to investigate further other mechanisms involved, either alone or collectively and focus on isolation and transfer of disease resistance genes as part of an integrated wilt management strategy.

CONCLUSION

The present study on temporal and spatial variation in antioxidant enzymes and PR proteins reveals that the expression pattern of defense related proteins could be used as markers for the establishment of resistance. The host is protected against pathogen both by passive (physical barriers) and active (phytoalexins) mechanism using defense enzymes. The isolation of pathogen-induced defense enzyme genes bears scope for their cloning, manipulation of expression and development of transgenic crop plants resistant to various pathogens.
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