INTERACTION OF SELECTED LACTIC ACID BACTERIA AND YEAST IMPROVES THE QUALITY OF PEARL MILLET SOURDOUGH BREAD
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ABSTRACT

The effect of lactic acid bacteria and yeast interaction on the quality of pearl millet (PM) sourdough bread was investigated. Lactobacillus plantarum (A), Pediococcus pentosaceus (B), Lactobacillus pentosus (C), Saccharomyces cerevisiae (D) and Candida milleri (E) cultures were used singly and in combinations in sourdough fermentation. The proximate, physical and sensory properties of bread were evaluated using standard methods. The protein content ranged from 13.07% - 14.32%, ash (1.77% - 1.99%), fat (8.01% - 9.32%), crude fibre (0.77% - 1.25%), moisture (21.08% - 22.65%), carbohydrate (51.28% - 54.23%), loaf weight (75.28 g-108.52 g), loaf volume (89 cm3 – 130 cm3) and specific volume (1.0 cm3/g-1.26 cm3/g). PMABE (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum + Lb. pentosus + C. milleri) was scored acceptable. The crude protein, fat, ash, fibre content and specific volume differed depending on the type of starters used in the development of sourdough bread. The use of co-cultures as starters could improve the physicochemical quality and acceptability of sourdough bread. Saccharomyces cerevisiae have been implicated in dough development, however, this study have shown that Candida milleri could also be a substitute in dough development in the pastry industry.
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INTRODUCTION

Millets are major sources of energy and protein in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) with dominant species being pearl millet (Ogundahowo and Ogunsanya, 2012). Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) is one of the major cereals typical of tropical and subtropical regions of the world and constitutes a staple diet for human consumption but still underutilised (Balasubramanian and Viswanathan, 2010). Fermentation is an age long techniques often done under spontaneous conditions. Spontaneous fermentation is plagued with safety issues as pathogens seldomly find their way into fermentation substrate due to the use of non-defined cultures. Hence, the need to optimize fermentation process for desirable product quality. Starter cultures are preparations containing large numbers of variable microorganisms (Kolodyn-Krajewska and Dolatowski, 2012) which may be added to accelerate a fermentation process. Starter cultures are natural isolates of desirable microorganisms found normally in substrates (De Vuyst and Vancanneyt, 2007).

Sourdough is an acidic paste used to improve quality of breads (Thiele et al., 2002), biscuits and cakes (Ottogalli et al., 1996) often prepared through spontaneous or control fermentation of moistened cereal flours. Microbial diversity of sourdough is usually variable, having a predominance of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts (Akinola and Osundahunsi, 2017). Yeast pre-ferment have been used and are still applied for dough development towards white bread production. Sourdough contains lactic acid bacteria that produces mainly organic acids and yeasts that produce carbon dioxide and ethanol as byproducts of metabolism. Interactions between yeasts and lactic acid bacteria are important for the metabolic activity of sourdoughs (Kaisa et al., 2009). Indeed, most traditional fermentations results from the combined metabolic activities of different types of microorganisms.

Sourdough contains both homo and heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria in coexistence with yeasts which have great potential to impart desirable characteristics on a final product. The use of sourdough in baking gluten-free bread has been described effective in the improvement of product texture and the delay of staling of the gluten-free breads (Moore et al., 2008). The improved textural properties reported in sorghum sourdough bread has been linked to the metabolic activities of exopolysaccharide (EPS) producing strains Lecumostoc mesenteroides (Schober et al., 2007; Schwab et al., 2008). Houmhouigan et al. (1999) reported a stimulating effect of Candida krusi on L. fermentum and L. brevis used as a mixed starter culture in the production of fermented maize product - mawe. Edema and Sanni (2008) reported the importance of the interaction between lactobacilli and yeast in the modulations of microflora and dynamics of sour maize meal which is critical to the development of sourdough bread. However, little or none have been reported on the effect of selected starters on quality characteristics of millet sourdough fermentation. Bread have been produced from spontaneously developed sourdough but with occurrence of several potential pathogens of both bacteria and fungi origin. Hence, the concern for starter specificity to ensure safety and improved yield.

The use of less known cereals in sourdough development in the confectionary industry is only in its infancy in Sub-Saharan Africa (Arendt et al., 2007), yet there are many substrates laden with potentials for use in sourdough products. Millet is one of such promising substrates, particularly as it’s a rich source of carbohydrate and considerable amount of protein relative to other cereals apart from wheat. Millet lacks gluten which is a major source of concern in coeliac diseases patients (Padalino et al., 2016). Production of bread and other pastry products from gluten-free cereals such as millet is a challenge to processors since, it lacks the structure that provides the visco-elastic properties in doughs (gluten). Therefore, sourdough technology is of choice in improving the technological properties of millet doughs. Type of substrate, temperature, titratable acidity, pH of dough and starter cultures used in fermentation are among the factors that affects the quality of sourdough (Chavan and Chavan, 2011). Hence, this study investigated the effect of selected lactic acid bacteria and yeast (starters) on quality characteristics of sourdough bread produced from pearl millet flour.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

Pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum) grains were obtained from Terminus market, Jos, Plateau state, Nigeria and was processed into flour as described by Akinola et al. (2017). Pearl millet flour was stored in airtight polyethylene bag prior to further analysis. Lactobacilli (Lactobacillus plantarum, Pediococcus pentosacens and Lactobacillus pentosus) and yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida
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milleri) used in the study were typed isolates from spontaneously developed millet sourdough (Akinola and Osundahunesi, 2017). These cultures were kept until use under frozen storage at the Food Microbiology Laboratory, Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. All chemical used in this study were of analytical grade purchased from Merck, South Africa.

Methods

Development of millet sourdough

Previously typed cultures of lactic acid bacteria (Lactobacillus plantarum, Pediococcus pentosaceus and Lactobacillus pentosus) and yeast (Sacccharomyces cerevisiae and Candida milleri) from spontaneously developed pearl millet sourdough was used in the study. Cultures were inoculated both singly and in combinations into the pearl millet slurry for sourdough development. Cultures were re-activated overnight in appropriate media broths. Lactic acid bacteria and yeast cultures were sub-cultured on de mann Rogosa Sharpe agar (MRS Agar, OXOID, UK) and malt extract agar (OXOID, UK) at 30 °C for 48 h and 26 °C for 72 h incubation time respectively. Pure colonies were grown in nutrient broth and were centrifuged (Stuart microfuge SRFC 2) at 8000 x g for 10 min, washed in 1% phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), sterile distilled water and re-centrifuged. The density of the harvested inoculum was adjusted to 1.0 McFarland concentration (1.0 x 10^7 cells/ml) using a calibrated densitometer (Densimat, BioMerieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France). Thereafter, to about 50 g of flour, 5 ml of cell culture was added as inoculum singly and in multiple combination to achieve a 1.0 x 10^7 CFU/ml concentration. The resulting mixture was made up with sterilised water to 50 ml mark in a screw cap glass bottles (w/v: 1:1). Mixing was done to obtain a soupy consistency at ambient temperature (29±2 °C) for 72 h incubation time. Previously typed cultures of lactic acid bacteria were sub-cultured and were streaked on MRS Agar (Oxoid, UK) and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. 

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Determination of loaf volume and specific volume of sourdough bread

The loaf volumes were determined using modified rapeseed displacement method as described by Rosales-Juarez et al. (2008). The volume of grain was measured in a measuring cylinder and recorded as the initial volume. The bread loaves were placed on a rectangular bread pan of known dimensions. The grains were filled into pan containing bread loaf until full. The displaced volume of grains was taken as final volume. The loaf volume and specific volume of sourdough breads were calculated as described in Equation 1 and 2 respectively.

\[
\text{Loaf volume (cm}^3) = \text{Initial Volume of Grains} - \text{Final Volume of grain}
\]

\[
\text{Specific loaf volume (cm}^3/g) = \text{Loaf volume}/\text{Loaf weight}
\]

Determination of proximate composition of sourdough bread

The percent proximate composition (protein, moisture, crude fat, crude fibre and crude ash contents) of produced sourdough bread was determined according to the method described by AOAC (2005) while the carbohydrate contents were determined by difference of other nutrient from 100.

Sensory evaluation of sourdough bread

Produced sourdough breads were subjected to sensory evaluation within 24 h after baking. Sourdough breads were presented to a 15 man untrained panel comprising of staff and students of the Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. Panelists were made to evaluate sourdough bread on the basis of appearance, taste, aroma, texture and overall acceptability using a nine-point hedonic scale ranging from like extremely (9) to dislike extremely (1).

Statistical analysis

All data were generated in triplicate and analysed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) on Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 18.0 Chicago: SPSS Inc.). The means were separated by Duncan New Multiple Range Tests (DNMRT) at P ≥ 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gluten free flours do not lend themselves to satisfactory dough development but can show improved performance when prepared in the form of batter (Sanni et al., 1998). This account for the use of batter method in the study. The produced sourdough breads was observed to have medium cracks compared to the control samples with little cracks. A crunchy crust was observed in the produced sourdough bread upon cooling. This observation supports the findings of Edema (2011) and Klupsaite et al. (2017) on maize sourdough bread produced from multi-species starter cultures and in Pediococcus pentosaceus fermented lupin bread. Steam gelatinizes starch, denatures protein on bread loaves exterior without forming a hard shell. On removal of steam, retrograded layer of starch dries out forming a thick crunchy crust. The high aroma observed in the sourdough bread might be due to the combined aroma produced as a result of the metabolic activities of starters during fermentation and baking. This supports the earlier report of Klupsaite et al. (2017) on improved colour, flavour and acidity of fermented sourdough lupin flour. According to Coda et al. (2011) lactic acid bacteria starter cultures aids the improvement of the organoleptic and baking qualities of sourdough bread made from gluten free flours.

Table 1 presents the proximate composition of pearl millet sourdough bread produced using selected lactic acid bacteria and yeast cultures. The protein content of the sourdough breads ranged from 13.07% to 14.32%. The percent protein content was highest in PMABE (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus + C. milleri) (14.32%) and Pearl Millet + without starter culture (PM + Control) was lowest (13.07%). The protein contents were higher in the multi-species developed sourdough breads as compared to single-species. However, there were no significant difference between samples PMD (Pearl Millet + S. cerevisiae) and PMAE (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum + C. milleri) in terms of the percent protein content (P=0.05). Hence, a protein rich sourdough bread could be developed from Lactobacillus plantarum, Pediococcus pentosaceus, Lactobacillus pentosus and Candida milleri. The presence of starters greatly improves the protein content of produced sourdough bread.

The increased protein content might be due to protein synthesis by the starter cultures in form of enzymes, exopolysaccharides or other metabolites in the sourdoughs. This supports the assertion of Steinkraus (2002) that fermentation improves the nutritive value of foods. Therefore, the findings in this work agrees with the report on maize (Sanni et al., 1998), sorghum and fonio sourdoughs (Edema, 2011), fermented lupin bread (Klupsaite et al., 2017). The starter cultures could function as single cell proteins – an alternative source of protein in
the developing countries where many dwellers can hardly afford high proteinaceous foods because of high costs and poor standard of living.

The percent crude fat content ranged from 8.01% to 9.32%. The crude fat was highest in PMABCD (PM + Lb. planatarum + P. pentosaceus + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae) 9.32% and lowest in PME (PM + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae) 9.01%. Fat content influences the shelf life of food products, hence relatively high fat content could be undesirable in dry food products. Saturated fat is capable of promoting rancidity in foods which could lead to the development of unpleasant and odorous compounds (Wasowicz et al., 2004). The crude fat content obtained in this study are within the range of value reported in sour maize bread (Sanni et al., 1998) and in amaranth sourdough bread (Grobelnik, 2009).

The percent crude ash content ranged from 1.77% to 1.99% and was highest in PMABCD (PM + Lb. planatarum + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) 1.99%. The values obtained in this study are within the range reported in sour maize bread by Sanni et al. (1998). Thus implying that the sourdoughs could be a rich source of minerals. The high mineral content of the sourdoughs might be as a result of improved bioavailability of minerals during the fermentation process.

Dietary fibre is important in reducing the risk of nutrition-related diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, colon cancer, constipation and diverticulosis (Lattimer and Haub, 2010).

Percent crude fibre content ranged from 0.77% -1.25% and was highest in PMCDEA (PM + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri + Lb. plantarum) and PMBCDE (PM + P. pentosaceus + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri) 1.25%. The percent crude fibre was lowest in control sample (PM + Control) 0.77%. The reduced crude fibre contents in the breads might be due to the degradation of oligosaccharides contained in the pearl millet sourdough by the actions of the microorganisms used as starters. The use of varied cultures could have influenced the variation observed in the crude fibre content of the sourdough bread. However, the crude fibre contents obtained in this study were higher than those reported in sour maize bread (Sanni et al., 1998). Moisture and water activity of food product determine to a great extent the keeping quality of such foods. The moisture content ranged from 21.08% to 22.65% and was highest in PMAC (PM + Lb. planatarum + Lb. pentosus) 22.65% and lowest in PMBC (PM + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) 21.08%. The low moisture contents of breads might be due to the high content of oligosaccharides presents in the flour which could increase the water holding capacity of flour.

### Table 1 Proximate composition of pearl millet sourdough bread produced using starter cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Protein content (%)</th>
<th>Crude fat (%)</th>
<th>Ash (%)</th>
<th>Crude fibre (%)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Carbohydrates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM + Lb. plantarum (PMA)</td>
<td>14.7±0.04a</td>
<td>9.12±0.03abc</td>
<td>1.82±0.02ab</td>
<td>1.17±0.02ab</td>
<td>21.27±0.02abc</td>
<td>52.7±0.12abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae (PMAB)</td>
<td>14.32±0.15a</td>
<td>9.04±0.04ab</td>
<td>1.82±0.02ab</td>
<td>1.10±0.14ab</td>
<td>21.85±0.04ab</td>
<td>51.86±0.28ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + P. pentosaceus + Lb. pentosus + C. milleri (PMABE)</td>
<td>16.04±0.05a</td>
<td>9.06±0.05ab</td>
<td>1.84±0.01ab</td>
<td>0.98±0.01ab</td>
<td>21.66±0.06ab</td>
<td>54.44±0.05ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + P. pentosaceus + C. milleri (PMBCDE)</td>
<td>14.07±0.06a</td>
<td>9.20±0.05ab</td>
<td>1.82±0.01ab</td>
<td>0.98±0.01ab</td>
<td>21.69±0.01ab</td>
<td>52.25±0.09ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri (PMCD)</td>
<td>14.03±0.03a</td>
<td>9.21±0.03ab</td>
<td>1.81±0.01</td>
<td>0.99±0.01ab</td>
<td>21.76±0.02ab</td>
<td>52.20±0.10ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + Lb. pentosus + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae (PMBCDE)</td>
<td>14.21±0.04a</td>
<td>9.32±0.51ab</td>
<td>1.88±0.01ab</td>
<td>0.99±0.01ab</td>
<td>21.77±0.03ab</td>
<td>52.12±0.03ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri (PMABDE)</td>
<td>14.24±0.04abc</td>
<td>9.02±0.01abc</td>
<td>1.91±0.02bc</td>
<td>1.10±0.10abc</td>
<td>21.82±0.02abc</td>
<td>51.92±0.13bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + P. pentosaceus + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri (PMBCDE)</td>
<td>14.26±0.06abc</td>
<td>9.10±0.01abc</td>
<td>1.87±0.02abc</td>
<td>1.27±0.15abc</td>
<td>22.14±0.13abc</td>
<td>51.35±0.23abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri + Lb. plantarum (PMCDCE)</td>
<td>14.27±0.08abc</td>
<td>9.18±0.02abc</td>
<td>1.87±0.01bc</td>
<td>1.27±0.25abc</td>
<td>22.20±0.01abc</td>
<td>51.33±0.04abc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + Control</td>
<td>13.07±0.08a</td>
<td>8.07±0.06bc</td>
<td>1.77±0.01bc</td>
<td>0.77±0.02bc</td>
<td>22.53±0.03ab</td>
<td>53.81±0.10ab</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean of triplicate determinations ± standard error of mean and different superscripts are significantly different within columns at (p<0.05) confidence interval. Legend: PM= Pearl millet flour; Control = without starter culture, PM+Control = Pearl Millet + without starter culture.

The values obtained were lower than those reported in wheat bread (35%), rye bread (35.5%) and 28.85% in maize sourdough bread (Sanni et al., 1998; Edema, 2011). The difference observed between the moisture content could be due to difference in grain morphology (starch content) of cereals used in the studies. The carbohydrate content ranged from 51.28% to 54.23%. The multiple-species (PMCDEA, PMBCDE, PMABCD and PMABDE) sourdough samples had the lowest carbohydrate content with a consequent highest amount of protein. Unlike the multiple-species inoculated samples, the single-species inoculated samples were characterized with higher values of carbohydrate content. This observation in the multiple-species sourdoughs could be due to an increased metabolic activities of co-existing species. Fermentations with the use of single or multi-species cultures could significantly decrease the soluble, reducing, non-reducing sugars and the starch content of substrates (Diakabana et al., 2014). Figure 2 presents the loaf weight and volume of pearl millet sourdough bread produced using selected starters. Figure 2 presents the loaf weight and volume of pearl millet sourdough bread produced using selected starters. The weight and loaf volume of sourdough breads ranged from 75.28 g - 108.32 g and 89 cm3 - 130 cm3, respectively, PMAE (PM + Lb. planatarum + L. pentosus) had the highest loaf weight (108.32 g) and volume (130 cm3). Figure 3 presents the loaf height and specific volume of pearl millet sourdough breads produced using selected starters. The heights of the produced sourdough bread ranged from 1.3 cm to 1.95 cm. The height was highest in PYE (1.95 cm) and lowest in PM + Lb. pentosus (1.3 cm). The use of sourdoughs remarkably influenced the texture profile of the produced breads as indicated by their specific volumes. The specific volumes of the bread samples were significantly different (P<0.05) and in the range of 1.0 cm3/g - 1.26 cm3/g. The specific volumes of breads were higher in multi-species starters than those developed using single species starters. The higher specific volumes recorded in multi-species developed pearl millet sourdough breads might be due to the combined metabolic activities of
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hom fermentative and hetero fermentative microorganisms used as starters thereby resulting in improved leavening of the dough’s. The specific volume was highest in PMCDE (PM + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri) and PMABCD (1.26 cm³/g) and lowest in PMBC (1.0 cm³/g). Specific volumes obtained in this study were higher than those reported by Rosales-Juarez et al. (2008) and Sabanis and Tzia (2009) in maize-soybean bread. However, there was no significant difference in appearance of most bread samples (p>0.05). The appearances of pearl millet sourdough breads was comparable to a typical wheat bread in which the panelist were familiar with, hence its acceptability to the consumer. The acceptable appearance of the pearl millet sourdough breads might be due to the obtained creamy colour of pearl millet flour as reported in previous study of Akinko et al. (2017). The colour of substrate flour could influence the acceptability of breads. The texture of the sourdough breads ranged from slightly dislike (4.20) in the control sample (Pearl Millet +Control) to slightly like (6.87) in PM (Pearl Millet + Lb. pentosus). However, there was no significant difference between the textures of sourdough breads (p>0.05). This dislike in the texture of the control sample might be due to a poorly developed protein network resulting from inadequate leavening of the dough since no starter culture was used in the fermentation. The scoring of the sourdough bread with regard to taste ranged from moderately dislike (3.40) in PMBD (Pearl millet flour + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) to like (5.80) in PMAB (Pearl millet flour + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus). There was no significant difference in the taste of the samples (p>0.05). This might be due to the fact that many panelist were not familiar with this type of bread (tart bread) hence their low scoring. The aroma ranged from slightly dislike (4.27) in the control sample (Pearl Millet + Control) to slightly like (6.73) in PMABD (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) and PMBCDE (PM+P. pentosaceus + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri). The overall acceptability of the pearl millet sourdough breads were adjudged acceptable mostly in the developed multipletspecies sourdough breads compared to the single-species and control. The overall acceptability ranged from slightly dislike (4.40) in PMBE (Pearl Millet + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) to like (7.20) in PMAE (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus + C. milleri). A similar trend was reported by Sanni et al. (1998) in maize sourdough breads and fermented lupin bread (Klupsaite et al., 2017) as judgment of panelists have been traced to traditional food habits (Sanni et al., 1998). Consumers of bread in ecological zones like Nigeria traditionally believe and accept white and sweetened bread in whatever form it is prepared. Since sourdough bread is a specialty bread it should be encouraged on the merit of its potential as a functional food.

Table 2 presents the sensory properties of pearl millet sourdough breads produced from selected starters. The appearance ranged from slightly like (6.60) in PMCDE (Pearl millet + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri) to moderately like (7.95) in PMAB (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum). However, there was no significant difference in appearance of most bread samples (p>0.05). The appearances of pearl millet sourdough breads was comparable to a typical wheat bread in which the panelist were familiar with, hence its acceptability to the consumer. The acceptable appearance of the pearl millet sourdough breads might be due to the obtained creamy colour of pearl millet flour as reported in previous study of Akinko et al. (2017). The colour of substrate flour could influence the acceptability of breads. The texture of the sourdough breads ranged from slightly dislike (4.20) in the control sample (Pearl Millet +Control) to slightly like (6.87) in PM (Pearl Millet + Lb. pentosus). However, there was no significant difference between the textures of sourdough breads (p>0.05). This dislike in the texture of the control sample might be due to a poorly developed protein network resulting from inadequate leavening of the dough since no starter culture was used in the fermentation. The scoring of the sourdough bread with regard to taste ranged from moderately dislike (3.40) in PMBD (Pearl millet flour + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) to like (5.80) in PMAB (Pearl millet flour + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus). There was no significant difference in the taste of the samples (p>0.05). This might be due to the fact that many panelist were not familiar with this type of bread (tart bread) hence their low scoring. The aroma ranged from slightly dislike (4.27) in the control sample (Pearl Millet + Control) to slightly like (6.73) in PMABD (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) and PMBCDE (PM+P. pentosaceus + Lb. pentosus + S. cerevisiae + C. milleri). The overall acceptability of the pearl millet sourdough breads were adjudged acceptable mostly in the developed multipletspecies sourdough breads compared to the single-species and control. The overall acceptability ranged from slightly dislike (4.40) in PMBE (Pearl Millet + P. pentosaceus + S. cerevisiae) to like (7.20) in PMAE (Pearl Millet + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus + C. milleri). A similar trend was reported by Sanni et al. (1998) in maize sourdough breads and fermented lupin bread (Klupsaite et al., 2017) as judgment of panelists have been traced to traditional food habits (Sanni et al., 1998). Consumers of bread in ecological zones like Nigeria traditionally believe and accept white and sweetened bread in whatever form it is prepared. Since sourdough bread is a specialty bread it should be encouraged on the merit of its potential as a functional food.

Table 2 Sensory properties of pearl millet sourdough breads produced using starter cultures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PM +Lb. plantarum (PM)</td>
<td>7.93±0.59a</td>
<td>5.67±0.90cd</td>
<td>4.33±0.98a</td>
<td>6.40±0.51a</td>
<td>5.40±0.83ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM+P. pentosaceus (PMB)</td>
<td>7.53±0.83bc</td>
<td>5.87±1.13cd</td>
<td>4.40±0.83bc</td>
<td>6.00±0.85bc</td>
<td>6.33±0.98bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM +Lb. pentosus (PMC)</td>
<td>7.60±0.83bd</td>
<td>6.87±1.30c</td>
<td>4.53±0.74cd</td>
<td>6.67±0.90c</td>
<td>5.73±1.22bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM+S. cerevisiae (PMD)</td>
<td>7.07±0.70bd</td>
<td>6.20±1.15bc</td>
<td>4.73±0.96cd</td>
<td>6.00±1.00bc</td>
<td>5.33±0.72bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM+C. milleri (PME)</td>
<td>7.33±0.99bc</td>
<td>5.93±0.80bc</td>
<td>5.07±0.80bc</td>
<td>6.47±0.74bc</td>
<td>4.67±0.72bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM + Lb. plantarum + P. pentosaceus (PMAB)</td>
<td>7.13±0.83bc</td>
<td>4.87±0.83cd</td>
<td>5.80±0.41a</td>
<td>5.53±0.52cd</td>
<td>5.47±0.74bc</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION
Sourdough bread is gradually gaining attention in Africa owing to its nutritional benefits. The use of multi-species starters influenced the proximate composition of pearl millet sourdough breads. Percent crude protein content increases with increasing number of starters in pearl millet sourdough breads. The use of multi-species cultures influences the loaf volume and specific loaf volume of pearl millet sourdough bread. A combined metabolic activity of homofermentative and heterofermentative starters could result in improved baking quality of sourdough breads. An acceptable specialty breads production from pearl millet flour requires the use of multi-species starters comprising of both homofermenters and heterofermenters. A strategic combination of Lactobacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus pentosus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae could give a desirable fermentation with improved nutrient quality if carried out under controlled conditions. Saccharomyces cerevisiae have been implicated in dough development, however, this study have shown that Candi. milleri could also be a substitute in dough development in the pastry industry. Further studies on the nutritional and health benefits of millet sourdough breads is needful. Adequate enlightening on the potential health benefits of sourdough bread could enhance its acceptance in the tropics where white bread is a staple.
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