CORONAVIRUSES: THE VIRUSES THAT HAVE MOVED FROM THE SHADOW TO THE SPOTLIGHT OF PUBLIC ATTENTION
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ABSTRACT
Over the millennia, infectious diseases have been mass killers on a scale we cannot begin to imagine today. Coronaviruses are different from other viral epidemics of the past decades. As Erin Mackie states in her fairy tale: „From rags to riches, from a little pauper in blood-stained clothes to a princess in red Moroccan slippers; “it is appropriate to use this similitude to the coronaviruses. They are common in several species of domestic and wild animals, and in humans are usually considered to be the cause of common cold. However, the epidemics of two beta coronaviruses, SARS-CoV (2002-2003) and MERS-CoV (2012) have infected more than 10 000 people with mortality rates of 9.6% for SARS-CoV and 34.4% for MERS-CoV. Mentioned epidemics were moving the position of coronaviruses from the shadow to the spotlight and reaffirmed the importance of understanding how coronaviruses emerge, infect, and cause disease or death. Almost 8 years after the highly fatal MERS-CoV appeared were a series of pneumonia cases of unknown origin emerged in Wuhan, China with clinical presentations resampling to viral pneumonia. Based on the sequencing analysis of samples collected from respiratory tract of infected people, a novel coronavirus, designed as SARS-CoV-2, was detected. However, it is more widespread than SARS-CoV, more infectious than seasonal influenza and has killed more people than Ebola. With a total of 60.834.776 infected people with confirmed SARS-CoV-2 (until now) is a rank among the highest pathogenic human coronavirus that has emerged in the last two decades.
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INTRODUCTION TO THE SUBFAMILY CORONAVIRINAE

Coping with, and trying to understand, emerging epidemics has been part of the human civilization since time immemorial. As Howard Phillips Lovecraft state: „The oldest and strongest emotion of mankind is fear, and the oldest and strongest kind of fear is fear of the unknown.” Coronaviruses (CoVs) were named based on the production of the club-shaped projections protruding on their envelope that give the virions a crown-like shape (“corona” in Latin means crown) (Fehr and Perlman, 2015). These viruses belonging to the order Nidovirales, family Coronaviridae, and subfamily Coronavirusae, that was further subdivided into the four main groups, the alpha, beta, gamma, and delta (Gorbalenya et al., 2020). The alpha group represents human NL63 (HCoV-NL63), PRCoV (Porcine Respiratory Coronavirus), and TGEV (Porcine Transmissible Gastroenteritis Coronavirus). Prototype beta-group coronaviruses include SARS-CoV (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus), MERS-CoV (Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus), novel SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2), BCoV (Bovine Coronavirus), and MHV (Mouse Hepatitis Coronavirus). Gamma and delta group tend to infect birds (IBV – Avian Infectious Bronchitis Virus) (Fehr and Perlman, 2015; Ahmad and Rodriguez-Mora, 2019). The invasion process of CoVs consists of several steps-attachment, fusion, and replication. Coronaviruses use homotrimers of the spike (S) glycoprotein that mediates attachment of the virus to the host cell surface receptors. Infection is initiated by interaction between the viral particle and specific proteins on the cell surface of the host cell. This interaction is the preliminary determinant for the CoVs to infect a host cell (Denison et al., 2011; Walls et al., 2020). Subsequently, the virus must gain access for entry at the plasma membrane of the host cell (or after endocytosis). This is accomplished by acid-dependent proteolytic cleavage of S protein, mainly by a cathepsin. Fusion generally occurs within acidified endosomes by the mixing of viral and cellular membranes, resulting in release of the viral genome into the cytoplasm. A virus-specific RdRp is translated, which transcribes the viral genomic RNA to produce a full-length anti genome. New RNA strand and nested set of subgenomic mRNAs are that transcribed from the anti-genome template. Newly synthesized RNA is incorporated into virions and mature virions egredd by exocytosis to the cell membrane (Ziebuhr, 2005; Tortorici et al., 2019; Hackbart et al., 2020). It is likely that these CoVs will continue to emerge and cause human outbreaks owing to their ability to recombine, mutate, and jump between species, to establish infection in a new host and cell types. Therefore it is necessary to identify reservoirs of CoVs that can help us to predict when and where potential epidemics may occur (Hackbart et al., 2020). In this review, we will introduce the human beta coronavirus genus with emphasis on epidemiology, efficiency of transmission, laboratory testing and prognosis of the current pandemic cause by the novel SARS-CoV-2.
THE HISTORY OF CORONAVIRUS PANDEMICS: SARS AND MERS AS THE FIRST AND SECOND WAVE OF PANDEMICS OF THE NEW MILLENNIUM

The coronaviruses first discovered in domestic chickens in the 1930s cause mainly respiratory diseases, to a lesser extent gastrointestinal, liver, and neurologic diseases in animals (Cavanagh, 2006). However, mutation and adaptation processes have led to the co-evolution of CoVs and their hosts, including human beings. It was a several decades until the first human CoV was identified in the 1960s (Ye et al., 2020). Currently, at least seven CoVs are known to cause human disease (Fig 1).
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Figure 1 Summary of CoVs history (orig. Charoussova).

Four of them most frequently cause common cold symptoms of upper respiratory infections, rarely, severe lower respiratory tract infections in infants, older people, and the immunocompromised patients (Abdul-Rasool and Fielding, 2010). The remaining three cause much more severe, or fatal respiratory infections and have caused serious outbreaks of deadly pneumonia in the 21st century. These are SARS-CoV as the cause of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) in 2002 and 2003, MERS-CoV as the cause of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) in 2012 (Ye et al., 2020; Zheng, 2020), and SARS-CoV-2 a novel coronavirus emerged in December 2019 as the cause of disease COVID-19. Since 16 November 2002, unknown infection agent have caused outbreak of an abnormal pneumonia in Guangdong Province localised in southern China. An physician, who had cared for ill patients with symptoms of pneumonia in Guangdong infected during his stay at Hotel M in Hong Kong 16 other guests before determining his positivity (Gronberg et al., 2003). At the end of February 2003, these infected guests seeded the outbreak of unknown pneumonia that has evolved and spread to neighbouring provinces and 26 countries among five continents. World Health Organisation (WHO) has issued a global alert on March 12, 2003, about the first pandemic of the 21st century (LeDuc and Barry, 2004). The reports established from blood and respiratory samples of ill patients show that the infection agent of this pandemic is a novel CoV, and on April 16 the WHO announced that novel coronavirus definitive causes SARS disease. After more than half a year, WHO had recorded approximately 8,096 cases of infected humans from 26 countries and 774 of them died as a result. Approximately two thirds of the cases were reported from China (Gronberg et al., 2003, Abraham, 2006). Data recorded by Arista et al. (2003) suggest that SARS had been spreading in an unusual pattern. The results showed that the specific antibodies were not present in any serum from healthy individuals. It suggests that the virus could have been introduced to humans by interspecies transmission. There might be a link to the culinary habits of some southern Chinese who consume meat from wild animals as a delicacy. Seroprevalence studies confirmed this zoonotic origin: 13% of animal or food handlers at markets of Guangzhou Province had present antibodies against SARS-CoV (Cheng, 2007). The emergence of SARS-CoV demonstrate the importance of the Coronavirinae as emerging human pathogens. Indeed, another outbreak in Saudi Arabia in 2012 resulted in many deaths and occurred in Middle Eastern countries, including those in the Gulf region, as well as Jordan, Syria, Lebanon, Palestine, and Egypt, resulting in renewed interest in studies of this new form of coronavirus. The first cases of MERS-CoV infection in Saudi Arabia, specifically Jeddah, were reported on June 13, 2012. The latest report from the WHO on December 7, 2015 showed that MERS-CoV has been identified in 26 countries, with 1,621 confirmed cases and 584 deaths (Mackay and Arden, 2015; Al-Osail and Al-Wazzah, 2017). Researches have examined the potential source of MERS virus. Analyses using anti-MERS-CoV antibodies have shown that 98–100% of camels were positive for MERS-CoV; consistent with this, the incidence of MERS-CoV in humans was 15 times higher in camel shepherds and 23 times higher in slaughter house workers than in the general population (Kasem et al., 2018). There were two further MERS outbreaks: South Korea (2015) and Saudi Arabia (2018) (Willman et al., 2019).

SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV epidemics have proven the ability of coronaviruses to cross species barrier and emerge rapidly in humans. As both SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV had zoonotic origins, person-to-person transmission had been the primary mode of spread SARS and MERS in the community (Lau and Chan, 2015). The possibilities of their transmission other than respiratory droplets and stool are still enigmatic, but these CoV has been detected also in urine of infected individuals (Mackay and Arden, 2015). Nosocomial transmission of CoVs was facilitated by the use of suction, intubation, bronchoscopy, or cardiopulmonary resuscitation, when large numbers of infectious droplets were generated (Cheng et al., 2007).

When MERS-CoV disappeared, the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 nearly 8 years later has shown that highly pathogenic coronaviruses will continue to spill over from zoonotic sources into the human population and has thrusted CoVs into the spotlight again and surprised us with its high transmissibility and with high mortality. These CoVs have flipped the coin to reveal how dangerous and life-threatening a human CoVs infection could be. Their sudden emergence tested modern society to respond to an unexpected attack of infectious threats on a global scale.

FOUR MONTHS AFTER THE BEGINNING OF PANDEMIC CAUSES BY THE NOVEL SARS-CoV-2

For the third time in 21st century, a zoonotic CoV has crossed species to infect human population and has gained a high cover in worldwide news. The sudden outbreak of a novel coronavirus, previously called the 2019-nCoV (2019-novel coronavirus), currently designated as SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2) was first identified in Wuhan, China (since December 2019) (Singhal, 2020). The association of initially positive SARS-CoV-2 individuals with seafood or wet market suggested that the marketplace in Huanan, China has played a pivotal role in the early stage of spreading. However, the origin and native host (s) are still shrouded in mystery, because the firstly documented patient was not linked to Huanan seafood market (Chen et al., 2020). It is crucial to identify the origin, native reservoirs and evolution pathway of the viruses that cause an outbreak of a pandemic. This information is necessary to understand the molecular mechanism of its cross-species spread (Corman et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2020).

Deep sequencing analysis is given that SARS-CoV-2 shares 79% sequence homology with SARS-CoV and 50% homology with MERS-CoV. The phylogenetic analysis indicates that SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the same beta-coronavirus genus as SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, but SARS-CoV-2 has a 96% sequence identity to beta-coronaviruses detected in bats, in comparison with only 79.5 % identity to SARS-CoV (Lu et al, 2020). It is thus reasonable to suspect that bat is the natural host of SARS-CoV-2 (Andersen et al., 2020). Zhang et al. (2020) highlight bats as the potential natural reservoir and pangolins were later found to be possible intermediate host of the virus (Fig 2). On the other hand, Ji et al. (2020) report two species of snakes that can be a possible reservoir of the SARS-CoV-2, but to date, there has been no evidence of CoVs reservoirs other than mammals and birds.
The virus was spreading from China to other Asian countries, and to Europe, Australia and North America. As of 4th May 2020 were confirmed SARS-CoV-2 in 101 countries in 3.567.005 people, with a total of 248.313 deaths. Currently are infected 60.834.776 people with a total of 1.429.109 deaths, but in 42.160.807 cases was people recovered.

These epidemiologic numbers do not represent the real number of SARS-CoV-2 infections that often manifest as mild symptoms or are asymptomatic. The quality of data from raw, publicly available and epidemiological sources allow to governments makers to follow optimum courses of action based on what is known at a given point in time (Li et al., 2020a).

However, the estimated fatality rate is approximately 2.3 %, it means lower than that of SARS (~10%) and much lower than that of MERS with ~35% of mortality (Petrosillo et al., 2020). Ioannidis (2020) in his work obtained from 61 studies (74 estimates) mentioned that the seroprevalence estimates ranged from: 0.02% to 53.40%, fatality rates ranged from 0.00% to 1.63% and in people younger than 70 years, infection fatality rates ranged from 0.00% to 0.31%. According to his study (Ionnidis, 2020), the infection fatality rates much lower than estimates made earlier in the pandemic.

The basic and critical factor for an emerging virus is its pandemic potential. The concern that attends the emergence of a novel coronavirus disease might be substantial, but fears often mitigate as the disease and infection agent becomes better understood. The understanding of the natural history, origin and epidemiology of an emerging infectious disease allows us to predict its behaviour and identify necessary control strategies (Woolhouse, 2011).

**EPIDEMIOLOGY, ROUTE AND EFFICIENCY OF TRANSMISSION OF SARS-CoV-2**

Many factors, such as health infrastructure, population genetics, and biological resistance, number of SARS-CoV-2 confirmatory laboratory tests, virus mutation, or citizen adherence to quarantine affect virus transmission in population. Current epidemiological information for SARS-CoV-2 transmission is mainly based on what is known about SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV (Telles, 2020).

Because COVID-19 is a respiratory disease, initial evidence suggest that SARS-CoV-2 can be transmitted by human-to-human through droplets produced while coughing, sneezing, or talking (Xu et al., 2020). One key mechanism of this can be contact with contaminated surfaces or objects (for example through failure to observe proper hand hygiene). It is proven that human coronaviruses can remain infectious on various surfaces for a number of days (Warner et al., 2015). Despite traditional forms of transmission, Telles (2020) claim that aerosols forming patterns in the atmosphere are a main vector of transmission outside medical care.

In contrast to first two beta-coronaviruses, SARS-CoV-2 can spread in the community more easily. Otherwise, recent research items are focused on detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA from other than naso-opharyngeal specimens or lower respiratory material, thus increasing the possibility of infection in additional routes (Wu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). The findings imply that SARS-CoV-2 may be present in stool samples and suggesting a possible faecal-oral transmission (Wu et al., 2020).

A study of Wang et al. (2020) showed that novel SARS can be detected in oral and anal swabs and blood samples of the individuals, and the anal or blood specimens could be positive when oral swab tested negative. According to Zheng (2020) unprotected eyes might be another transmission pathway of SARS-CoV-2. The review of Felica et al. (2020) demonstrated the presence of viral RNA in blood samples, suggesting that infection sometimes may be systemic.

Also a unique form of transmission is considered air outlet fans. There are not available evidence about the presence and spreading of viable, infectious SARS-CoV-2 from urine, milk, semen, sweat, and vomit (CDC, 2020). A small study conducted on women in their third trimester who were confirmed to be infected with SARS-CoV-2, did not provide any evidence of transmission from Mather to child (Shen et al., 2020). Information about the transmission between health workers represents only approximately 4% of the transmission of SARS-CoV (33-42%) and MERS-CoV (62-79%) was mainly though nosocomial way (Guo et al., 2020).

There are several of SARS and MERS cases every year, but the outbreaks are usually well avoided. So why SARS or MERS does not result in worldwide pandemics? The answer is simple and depends on R0 factor and severity of symptoms. SARS had R0 factor about 2 or 3, it meanings that every infected person was able to infect 2 or 3 other individuals. However, the symptoms of SARS were much more severe, so it was easier to identify, isolate and treat patients (Liu et al., 2020). The R0 of MERS was below 1, it is not a staggering number. Most of the cases have been linked to close contact with MERS-CoV main reservoir or with an already infected people (Chang, 2017). On the other hand, SARS-CoV-2 outbreak is that symptoms can be very mild or asymptomatic at all, but these individuals can still infect other people (Petrosillo et al., 2020). COVID-19 is not as deadly as SARS, or MERS, but it can spread undetected. As a result of the above, SARS-CoV-2 can the number of people infect and the number that will die is higher than any coronavirus epidemics before.

**SYMPTOMS, INCUBATION PERIOD, AND TREATMENT OF COVID-19**

Human lives are threatened by the outbreak of the novel SARS-CoV-2 that causes Coronavirus disease 2019. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is characterized by respiratory infections with a variable degree of severity, which may manifest from asymptomatic or pauci-symptomatic forms to severe respiratory failures.
The incubation period, the time from infection to manifestations of the disease, ranges from 1-4 days. This period is dependent on the immune status of patients. It has been proven that it was shorter among patients >70 years old [56]. Adhikari et al. (2020) suggest that the incubation period longer than two weeks could reflect double exposure. Information about the incubation period play a crucial role for determination the time period require for the quarantine of healthy individuals, and help us to understand the relative infectiousness, and can be helpful to estimate the pandemic size (Dénes and Gumel, 2019).

At present, do not be effective antiviral drugs or vaccine therapy against COVID-19, aside from supportive care (e.g. oral hydration, assessment of body temperature, blood pressure, and monitoring respiratory symptoms for about 14 days). Management of such patients is focused on the prevention of possible transmission and monitoring for clinical status if needed (Yavuz and Ünal, 2020). This care is suitable for many cases of infected people, but for a minority of individuals, especially elderly and immunocompromised patients may develop refractory hypoxemia, and hospitalization may be needed for oxygen therapy or other treatments that may have proven valuable for a similar clinical syndrome (Udugama et al., 2020).

Currently, there are two primary types of CoVs diagnostic tests available - tests that reveal the presence of the virus (current infection), i.e. molecular tests and tests for antibodies which would identify prior infection, ii – serological assays, and iii – rapid antigen tests. The last detection method is the isolation of CoVs in cell culture - iv, but it is not routinely performed for diagnostic purposes due to the lack of permissive cell lines, time to results, and the lack of commercial antisera for culture confirmation. According to Corman et al. (2020) laboratory diagnosis, in general, relies on nucleic acid-based testing early in the clinical course and serology later on.

There are a number of ongoing trials to determine if any therapeutics have sufficient effectiveness against COVID-19. Currently, none of these possible treatments have yet demonstrated any effectiveness. The main pharmacological experimental options are glucocorticoids, remdesivir, tocilizumab, Lopinavir-ritonavir, Baricitinib, chloroquine, and hydroxychloroquine in combination with azithromycin, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (Li et al., 2020). Therefore, it is very important to enhance the host immune response against the COVID-19, but to completely stop the pandemic spreading of SARS-CoV-2, a vaccine is needed.

THE LABORATORY DIAGNOSTICS METHODS FOR NOVEL COV-SARS-COV-2

The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak has a major impact on the Laboratories of Clinical microbiology and has highlighted the urgent need for rapid, accurate, and accessible diagnostic testing methods. But it should be appreciated that no matter how fast and sensitive laboratory detecting methods are, the diagnosis of CoVs infections requires the correct collecting of specimens from the patients at the right time (Loeffelholz and Tang, 2020). For laboratory testing, the pre-analytical stage, which means collecting respiratory samples, is the most essential. Upper respiratory specimens (nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs) are the preferred choice for ambulatory patients, lower respiratory specimens (putum, endotracheal aspirate, or Broncho alveolar lavage) in patients with more serious respiratory infection (Udugama et al., 2020).
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CONCLUSION

Infectious diseases have been mass killers on a scale we cannot begin to imagine today – even in the time of the COVID-19. The plague of Justinian struck in the 6th century, the Black Death of the 14th century, and smallpox in the 20th century killed dozens of millions of people worldwide. The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak is proving to be an unprecedented disaster and should be a telling reminder that the global community still not satisfactory benefited from the epidemics in past. COVID-19 is scary, because it is spreading fast, there is currently no vaccine for it SARS-CoV-2 is. On the other hand, this pandemic gives to humans many challenges and opportunities. We need to strengthen the virus to susceptible misery ensure that the our nation will win it in the bud. Time alone will tell how the SARS-CoV-2 virus will impact our lives. Only once this pandemic ends, one will be able to assess the health, economic, and social impact of this global disaster. But COVID-19, as a novel disease, still holds much more questions than confirmed answers. Let’s hope that the crisis is over soon without much loss of human life and guide us towards a better future.


